

LEEDS SCHOOL FORUM

Meeting to be held in Civic Hall, Leeds on
Thursday, 18th January, 2018 at 4.30 pm

MEMBERSHIP

GOVERNORS	HEADTEACHERS
Primary (6 seats)	Primary (7 seats)
Phil Hirst Christ Church Upper Armley Sue Knights Little London & Alwoodley Pete Riley Whitecote Gillian Simpson Shakespeare Sara Nix Rawdon Littlemoor <i>vacancy</i>	Peter Harris Farsley Farfield Sarah Griggs Valley View Julie Harkness Carr Manor Helen Stout Meadowfield John Hutchinson St Theresa's Claire Harrison Wetherby Deighton Gates Helen Stott Allerton C of E
Secondary (2 seats)	Secondary (3 seats)
Doug Martin Pudsey Grangefield Janice Rush Allerton Grange	Delia Martin Benton Park <i>vacancy</i> <i>vacancy</i>
Special (1 seat)	Special (1 seat)
<i>vacancy</i>	Diane Reynard East SILC
Non School	Academies (8 seats)
Peter Best PVI Providers Susan Knowles PVI Providers Patrick Murphy Schools JCC Richard Noake Diocese of WY&Dales Colin Booth 16-19 Providers Angela Cox OBE Catholic Diocese	David Gurney Cockburn School Mike Gidley White Rose Academies Trust Ken Morton Brigshaw LP MAT & Ashtree Adam Ryder Bruntcliffe Academy Scott Jacques Springwell Leeds Academy John Thorne Co-op Academy Priesthorpe Emma Lester Woodkirk Academy <i>To be confirmed</i>
	AP Academy
	Samantha Campbell The Elland Academy
Apologies to Vicki White	Tel: 0113 378 3594
3rd Floor, St George House, 40 Great George Street, Leeds LS1 3DL	Email: vicki.white@leeds.gov.uk

A G E N D A

Item No	Title	Lead	Time	Purpose
1.	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS		16:30	For information
2.	MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING <i>3 - 10</i>		16:35	For decision
3.	MATTERS ARISING		16:40	For decision
4.	SCHOOL FUNDING ARRANGEMENTS 2018/19 See attached <i>11 - 24</i>		16:50	For decision
5.	HIGH NEEDS BLOCK REVIEW UPDATE See attached <i>25 - 38</i>		17:30	For decision
6.	MEETING DATES : 2017-18 ACADEMIC YEAR <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>February 22nd 2018 (reserve)</i> • March 22nd 2018 • June 7th 2018 		18:20	For decision

LEEDS SCHOOLS FORUM
Minutes of the Meeting held on Thursday 23 November 2017 at Civic Hall (4.30PM – 6.30PM)

<u>Membership (<i>Apologies in Italics</i>)</u>	
<u>GOVERNORS</u>	<u>HEADTEACHERS</u>
Primary (6 seats)	Primary (7 seats)
<i>Phil Hirst</i> <i>Christ Church Upper Armley</i> <i>Sue Knights</i> <i>Little London & Alwoodley</i> <i>Pete Riley</i> <i>Whitecote</i> Gillian Simpson Shakespeare Sara Nix Rawdon Littlemoor <i>Vacancy</i>	Peter Harris Farsley Farfield <i>Sarah Griggs</i> Valley View <i>Julie Harkness</i> Carr Manor Helen Stout Meadowfield John Hutchinson St Theresa's <i>Claire Harrison</i> <i>Wetherby Deighton Gates</i> Helen Stott Allerton C of E
Secondary (2 seats)	Secondary (2 seats)
Doug Martin Pudsey Grangefield <i>Janice Rush</i> <i>Allerton Grange</i>	Delia Martin Benton Park <i>Brian Kelly</i> Royds <i>Vacancy</i>
Special (1 seat)	Special (1 seat)
Roger Cannon East SILC & NW SILC	Diane Reynard East SILC
Non School	Academies (8 seats)
<i>Peter Best</i> <i>PVI Providers</i> <i>Susan Knowles</i> <i>PVI Providers</i> Steve Thompson Schools JCC <i>Richard Noake</i> <i>Diocese of WY & Dales</i> <i>Bill Jones</i> <i>Deputy CEO, Leeds City College</i> <i>Angela Cox OBE</i> <i>Catholic Diocese</i>	David Gurney Cockburn School Mike Gidley White Rose Academies Trust Ken Morton Brigshaw LP MAT & Ashtree Adam Ryder Bruntcliffe Academy Scott Jacques Springwell Leeds Academy John Thorne Co-op Academy Priesthorpe <i>Vacancy</i> <i>Vacancy</i>
	AP Academy
	<i>Samantha Campbell</i> <i>The Elland Academy</i>
Local Authority Reps:	
Sue Rumbold, Chief Officer Partnerships	Louise Hornsey, Principal Financial Manager
Barbara Newton, Head of Service Complex Needs	Simon Criddle, Head of Finance
Tim Pouncey, Chief Officer Audit & Investment	Andy Humphries, ESFA Observer
Andrew Eastwood, Chief Officer Learning Improvement	
Minutes:	
Mark Thompson, Leadership Assistant	

Item		Action
1.0	Apologies & introductions	
1.1	Peter Harris (Chair) extended a warm welcome to members and observers, and formally introduced new governor representative Sara Nix (Rawdon Littlemoor Primary). Apologies were noted.	
2.0	Minutes of the 5 October 2017 meeting	
2.1	The minutes were agreed as a true record.	
2.2	Item 3.1: Andrew Eastwood had not yet arrived at the meeting, so an update on the vice chair vacancy was not provided.	AE
2.3	Item 4.11: Simon Criddle advised that the next detailed budget monitoring report will include an update on what has been spent to date (most likely available in January).	
2.4	Item 4.17: A revised proposal on attributing £500,000 of the de-delegated reserve to the adjustment asked for on severance payments is included in this month's papers.	
2.5	Item 5.4: Louise Hornsey advised that the Capita contract for SIMS licences is currently being looked at and alternative options explored. LH will report back at a future Schools Forum meeting.	LH
2.6	Item 7.6: Helen Stott (Allerton C of E) queried the minute at 7.6. A brief discussion followed on the low take up of the fund and how people do not appear to know about it. The directorate is now actively addressing this.	
2.7	Item 7.7: The findings of the High Needs Block review have now been modelled and included in this month's papers.	
3.0	Matters arising	
3.1	No items discussed.	
4.0	Recommendations following the review of the High Needs Block of the Dedicated Schools Grant	
4.1	Sue Rumbold and Barbara Newton talked members through the High Needs Block review paper and its recommendations.	
4.2	Following October's Schools Forum, specific options have now been modelled and are detailed in the paper and supplementary appendices. SR acknowledged that certain schools would be particularly impacted by some of the options, especially around notional inclusion budgets. Schools Forum was invited to consider taking some of the options forward (please see item 4.26).	
4.3	Roger Cannon (East SILC & NW SILC) highlighted the large discrepancy in High Needs Block funding between Leeds and other comparable authorities, and asked what the LA is doing to address the anomaly. Barbara Newton advised that when the High Needs Block was	

	<p>established in 2013, it was based on historic spend with some uplift for growth factors. However, the government has recognised this and is looking to redress the balance through the introduction of the National Funding Formula for the High Needs Block. Members noted that the underfunding in Leeds was a concern.</p>	
4.4	<p>Ken Morton (Brigshaw LP MAT & Ashtree) reported on a recent conversation with Tom Riordan on the financial pressures of the High Needs Block.</p>	
4.5	<p>SR advised that the Association of Directors of Children’s Services (ADCS) has conducted a survey into High Needs Block spend and reminded members of the national picture, which was of many local authorities reporting overspend on the High Needs Block. RC remarked on the inequity of our situation due to historical funding decisions since 2013.</p>	
4.6	<p>BN outlined the option of ceasing to provide additional funding to supplement notional inclusion budgets (NIBs) in mainstream schools. The impact on individual schools of removing additional blocks of £6,000 is shown in the appendix of the paper. BN remarked that the Leeds approach is not typical and could be considered generous. However, the withdrawal of this funding would have a significant impact on a number of schools, so various options need to be explored. These include increasing the percentage criteria from the current 40% and having a cap on the maximum loss per school. In addition, a process could be put in place to consider whether exceptional circumstances apply and additional funding could be released to respond to particular circumstances.</p>	
4.7	<p>PH questioned whether Schools Forum was asked about reducing the deficit on the DSG at £1 million per annum, and whether it would be affected by implementation of the National Funding Formula. SC explained that the model was based on repaying the deficit and achieving a break-even budget position over a five-year period.</p>	
4.8	<p>Mike Gidley (White Rose Academies Trust) asked how the additional blocks of funding are arrived at/calculated, and noted that schools with higher numbers of pupils with SEN would suffer greater impact. BN advised that the NIB is based on various factors, but the calculation does consider the size of the schools and number of children. She added that the LA is trying to ensure schools attracting more pupils with SEN are not penalised.</p>	
4.9	<p>Scott Jacques (Springwell Leeds Academy) asked what consideration had been given to the risk of reducing support to pupils with SEN and whether it had been factored into the model. BN acknowledged the increase in demand for specialist places and requests for EHC plans, and stated the LA needs to find a way of staying within budget that is as fair as possible.</p>	
4.10	<p>A debate was had on the proposal for changing the FFI unit value to £630 and its impact on schools. Diane Reynard (East SILC) asked whether the proposal applies to both mainstream schools and SILCs, to which BN advised it only refers to mainstream schools. RC added that £630 represents a sizeable cut across the board.</p>	
4.11	<p>KM suggested reducing the FFI unit value to £600 as an alternative proposal, which would provide a more equitable distribution of the impact of the reduction and still meet the required level of reduction in spend. There was some support among members for this.</p>	
4.12	<p>RC asked what quality assurance work is done around value for money and monitoring spend. BN advised that it is fundamentally the responsibility of governors in mainstream schools to monitor spend. She added that the LA can more closely monitor pupils with EHC</p>	

	plans, while also reviewing attainment levels. She reassured members that the LA monitors as much as it can within the resources available.	
4.13	DR asked whether School Improvement Advisers look at SEN during school visits. AE confirmed they do and that low attainment levels are addressed.	
4.14	Helen Stott (Allerton C of E) raised a question around monitoring value for money in free schools. AE reassured members that the LA has a responsibility for all children in Leeds, though ultimately pupils in free schools are not funded by Leeds. He advised that free schools are accountable through regional schools commissioners and that the LA could most definitely raise concerns in the event of children's needs not being met. AE remarked on the positive relationship the LA enjoys with academies and free schools.	
4.15	John Hutchinson (St Theresa's) cautioned against judging the progress of pupils with SEN against their national peers. Progress should be judged from their own relative starting points and comparisons with national peers is not helpful. AE concurred and assured members the LA defends the progress and achievements pupils make. However, the reality is that Leeds' results are presented alongside other authorities in the country and comparisons drawn. A brief discussion followed on the difficulties in closing attainment gaps for pupils with SEN.	
4.16	PH spoke about how the criteria for getting on the SEN register varies among LAs and issues with the data not being a true reflection of the children who need intervention. Members were assured work is being done in this area.	
4.17	KM remarked on the lack of information on SILCs in the paper's proposals and recommendations. Helen Stott (Allerton C of E) asked why the decision was made to exclude SILCs from the proposed cuts. SR remarked that this was because FFI is the main funding source for SILCs and any cut would have a disproportionate impact on SILCs.	
4.18	Sara Nix (Rawdon Littlemoor) remarked that excluding SILCs means the true effect of the savings cannot be known. BN advised that the savings shown in the paper excluded SILCs.	
4.19	Regarding the proposal to transfer £2 million from the Schools Block into the High Needs Block, MG asked why the value could not be increased to the maximum permitted amount of £2.4 million to reduce the pressure on FFI. SC advised this is because the authority is conscious of the impact on schools of the other proposal around severance costs.	
4.20	Gillian Simpson (Shakespeare) remarked on repaying the £1 million deficit on the DSG and her discomfort with transferring £2 million from the Schools Block when one could argue half of it is being used for the High Needs Block deficit. She asked whether a budget could be set where the deficit does not increase and repayment is deferred. AE reported on the limited number of options available and the consequences that deferring repayment would have.	
4.21	Helen Stout (Meadowfield) asked whether we would be in a similar situation next year and having similar discussions. SC advised it was difficult to completely guarantee this, but assumptions around growth and increase in places had been factored into the financial model. It has also been assumed that there will be an additional £2 million transfer in 2019/20 from the Schools Block.	
4.22	GS commented on the potential for schools to reach breaking point.	

4.23	RC remarked that budget option 4 saves £1.3 million without having to affect the additional blocks of funding. This option spreads the impact and gives time for further work to be done on the impact of reductions to the notional inclusion budgets.	
4.24	John Thorne (Co-op Academy Priesthorpe) remarked on the uneven impact across schools and noted that budget option 4 does not have the peaks and troughs other models have. Tim Pouncey provided some background to the calculation of the unit rate and that funding in the system was now out of step with the increase in demography.	
4.25	<p>Following the above debate, Schools Forum decided on the following in terms of the five recommendations in the paper:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Support the removal of funding for the Teenage Pregnancy Support Service from the High Needs Block.</i> Schools Forum was supportive of this. - <i>Consider the proposal for changing the FFI unit value to £630 and the impact on individual schools.</i> There was support for changing the unit value to £600 as an alternative to reducing the notional inclusion budgets. LA to bring revised proposals to the January meeting. - <i>Consider the proposal for ceasing to provide additional funding to supplement notional inclusion budgets in mainstream schools and possible options to phase this saving in such as implementing a cap on losses and suggest any circumstances they would like to be taken into account when schools apply for additional funding to supplement their notional inclusion budgets.</i> Schools Forum would like the LA to model raising the percentage of the notional inclusion budget to be spent on individual blocks of £6,000 to 50%. Schools Forum remained concerned about the impact of the proposal. - <i>Consider the proposal for transferring £2m from the Schools Block into the High Needs Block for 2018/19.</i> To be discussed in agenda item no. 5. - <i>Consider the proposal for transferring £0.5m from the Central Services Block to the High Needs block for 2018/19 (the specific recommendation will be considered as part of a separate report on the agenda).</i> To be discussed in agenda item no. 5. 	<p>BN</p> <p>BN</p>
5.0 Schools Funding Proposals 2018/19		
5.1	Louise Hornsey and Simon Criddle presented highlights of the '2018/19 School Funding Proposals' report.	
5.2	Following consultation on school funding arrangements for 2018/19, Schools Forum is being asked to consider and vote on a number of proposals (please see item 5.14 below). A further report will be brought to Schools Forum in January 2018 to confirm the final school funding allocations for 2018/19. LH remarked on the disappointing 25% response rate to the consultations and that the LA will look to increase this in the future, given how important the issue being consulted on was. Feedback from the consultations was that the majority were supportive of the transfers between Blocks and contribution to severance costs. In addition,	

	there was consultation on two funding formulas, with the majority being supportive of a move towards the National Funding Formula.	
5.3	SC directed members to item 2.8 onwards in the paper, which provides an update on the in-year monitoring position. Overall, the LA is not projecting significant change from the position reported to Schools Forum in October. There are minor variations among the Blocks. There is an overall overspend of around £900,000 on the DSG.	
5.4	Helen Stott (Allerton C of E) asked about the overspend on the High Needs Block and whether it is to do with the deficit carried forward. SC advised that the October report provided details of the variances and that the position hasn't changed significantly, but one of the reasons for the overspend was the SILC deficit.	
5.5	LH talked through each of the four recommendations in detail and invited questions from members.	
5.6	The LA received several comments during consultation querying the reasons for overspends against the high needs budget, particularly the deficit relating to the North West SILC. Verbal responses were provided at the briefing sessions and this matter has also previously been discussed at Schools Forum. PH asked how further information would be shared, given that many people do not attend forums. AE advised that the LA would not be writing to schools on the matter, but hoped that information shared at forums would be disseminated among colleagues. KM added that it is important to include context when communicating to schools and explain the positives too.	
5.7	PH asked whether the outturn figure shared in October (regarding the North West SILC) is still likely and whether a date is fixed yet. AE advised that a date is not yet fixed, so a final figure could not be reported. A discussion followed on being cautious around how such a deficit is communicated when it relates to one particular school, while acknowledging the value of transparency. Helen Stott (Allerton C of E) questioned how the LA would mitigate a similar situation in the future. AE acknowledged the argument around prevention and the LA potentially intervening sooner, and also commended the positive work being done now to create a strong and viable provision.	
5.8	LH reported that the LA is in the process of reviewing how deficits are managed and challenged. LH agreed to provide a verbal update to Schools Forum at the next meeting.	LH
5.9	LH outlined the options for funding formula for 2018/19: option 1 was retaining the existing local funding formula; option 2 was moving as close as possible to the National Funding Formula. Most LAs have consulted on these two options, and option two is the general preference. Both options propose a 0.5% increase in funding per pupil. LH acknowledged anomalies in some of the figures used within the consultation, which enabled some schools to gain more funding than they would under the National Funding Formula. This is due to differences between the National Funding Formula (under which the council is allocated funding), and the rules that the council can use to allocate the funding out to schools.	
5.10	Some initial modelling has been done to address schools' concerns around how funding is being distributed. LH advised that the council was intending to ask the ESFA to vary the rules in the following areas, so that the National Funding Formula can be replicated as fully as possible and the anomalies in funding addressed:	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Apply a 0.5% minimum increase in per-pupil funding, compared to schools' 2017/18 baselines. - Applying a cap on gains within the formula as well as a minimum per pupil amount. - Excluding premises funding from the minimum per pupil amount calculation. - Excluding the rental costs of temporary modular units from 2017/18 baselines where schools no longer have these units, as otherwise these schools will be overfunded. - Applying sparsity funding (for small and remote schools) in line with the national funding formula. 	
5.11	<p>The October 2017 pupil census data will become available in mid-December, around the same time as we should receive a response from the DfE to our requests. We will produce updated 2018/19 schools funding figures at this time to reflect any updates to the formula and the latest pupil data.</p>	
5.12	<p>The final funding formula will be approved in line with the council's decision making framework and a further report will be brought to Schools Forum in January 2018 to confirm details of the final formula. The LA will then submit details of the funding allocations for schools to the DfE by their deadline of 19/01/18.</p>	
5.13	<p>On the issue of sparsity funding, GS highlighted the difference between options 1 and 2, and asked what factor was causing it. LH advised sparsity funding would only affect two schools in Leeds.</p>	
5.14	<p>MG mentioned how the lump sum allocated to schools is lower than in the previous Leeds formula. Under the National Funding Formula all schools will receive £110,000, but the previous Leeds formula provided a higher figure (£150,000 for primary and £175,000 for secondary schools). He asked about proposals around a minimum funding guarantee. LH advised that 0.5% is what we wanted to offer. Current rules are we can set between -1.5% up to 0%, and this is one of the rules the LA will be applying to the ESFA to vary.</p>	
5.15	<p>Decision: LH asked members to vote on the following proposals. Below are the proposals and resultant votes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Schools Forum is asked to consider and vote on a proposal to transfer £2m from the schools block to the high needs block.</i> Schools Forum voted in favour of this. - <i>Schools Forum is asked to consider and vote on a proposal to transfer £500k from the central schools services block to the high needs block.</i> Schools Forum voted in favour of this. - <i>Maintained school members of Schools Forum are asked to consider and vote on a proposal for a contribution in 2018/19 by maintained schools towards the severance costs of maintained school staff, to be applied as a per pupil amount.</i> Schools Forum (eligible to maintained school members only) voted in favour of this. - <i>Schools Forum is asked to consider and vote on the proposed schools funding formula for 2018/19.</i> Schools Forum voted in favour of the option that moves closer to the National Funding Formula. 	

5.16	PH also called for a vote on submitting a request to ESFA for an adjustment to the Local Funding Formula rules, as discussed above. All members were in agreement to this.	
6.0	Schools in Financial Difficulties Fund – Panel Recommendations	
6.1	LH presented highlights of the 'Schools Forum Panel Update' paper.	
6.2	The panel considered a bid for funding from a school with a significant reduction in pupil numbers, and the panel recommended that £58,430 be allocated to support their budget during 2017/18. This was calculated in line with best practice examples provided by the Department for Education.	
6.3	The panel also considered requests from schools to fund capitalised pension costs in instances where schools were required to make staffing reductions in order to address budget issues. The total amount of pension costs to be met from the budget for schools in financial difficulty is currently £751,066. MG asked about what pension costs can be paid. LH agreed to find out more details.	LH
6.4	The total amount recommended for approval by the panel was therefore £809,496. The recommendations of the panel will be submitted to the Director of Children and Families for approval. This will leave a balance remaining of £175,504 within the 2017/18 budget for schools in financial difficulty. Further bids for funding may be received before the year end and a further update will be provided if this is the case.	
8.0	Meeting dates	
8.1	2017/18 academic year: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • January 11, 2018 now January 18 (Schools Forum agreed to this date change) • February 15, 2018 (Reserve) now February 22, 2018 • March 22, 2018 • June 7, 2018 	



Report of the Director of Children and Families

Report to the Leeds Schools Forum

Date: 18 January 2018

Subject: 2018/19 School Funding Arrangements

Report Author: Louise Hornsey

Contact telephone number: 0113 3788689

Summary of main issues

1. The Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) is allocated in four blocks: schools, high needs, early years and central schools services. Regulations set by the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) require that we consult with Schools Forum, and in some cases ask Schools Forum to make decisions, on proposals relating to the use of the DSG.
2. This report provides an update on the 2018/19 arrangements relating to two of the funding blocks within the Dedicated Schools Grant: the schools block and the central school services block.
3. In relation to the schools block, the report covers the Growth Fund amount and criteria, schools funding formula and de-delegation for 2018/19.
 - For the Growth Fund, we are proposing that the 2017/18 amount and criteria are retained for 2018/19.
 - For the schools funding formula, we can confirm that we are able to provide the minimum per pupil funding increase of 0.5% compared to 2017/18 school baselines. We are however awaiting a response to some of our requests made to the ESFA, which if approved will allow us to allocate funding more closely in line with the national funding formula.
 - In relation to de-delegation for maintained schools in 2018/19, we are also able to confirm that the per pupil rates will either stay the same or reduce, as we will be using some of the de-delegated reserves to offset the total amount required.
4. The report also includes the proposed expenditure for 2018/19 against the central school services block (CSSB), which funds local authorities for the statutory duties they hold for both maintained schools and academies. Approval is sought from Schools Forum on the proposed expenditure from this block. In addition, we can confirm that we

will be able to implement the proposal made in our November 2017 report to transfer £500k from the CSSB to the High Needs Block for 2018/19.

Recommendations

5. In relation to the Growth Fund for 2018/19, Schools Forum is asked to approve:
 - a) The proposed criteria.
 - b) The total Growth Fund of £2.9m (split between £2.61m for primary growth and £259k for secondary growth).
 - This is a Schools Forum decision. In the event that Schools Forum does not agree, the Department for Education (DfE) is able to adjudicate if we request this.
6. Schools Forum is asked to note the latest position on the school funding formula for 2018/19.
 - The final decision on the formula will be taken by the Director of Children and Families in accordance with the council's decision making framework.
7. In relation to the Central School Services Block, Schools Forum is asked to approve the 2018/19 amounts detailed within the report.
 - This is a Schools Forum decision. In the event that Schools Forum does not agree, the DfE is able to adjudicate if we request this.

1 Main issues

1.1 DSG Budget monitoring

- 1.1.1 Whilst a detailed monitoring report has not been prepared for this meeting work has continued to be carried out on assessing projected income and costs on DSG since the last Schools Forum. Overall, we are not anticipating a significant variation from the position previously reported (£885k in year overspend), although there may be variations within individual areas. A detailed budget monitoring report will be provided at the February 2018 Schools Forum meeting.

1.2 Growth Fund 2018/19

- 1.2.1 Schools Forum has agreed in previous years to retain a central Growth Fund from the schools block, in order to support costs incurred by schools that are being established or extended to meet basic need and where admission numbers are increased. This funding recognises that these pupils are not recorded on the census, and so will not attract funding through the usual schools funding formula.

1.2.2 Schools Forum decides on the total value of the Growth Fund and the criteria for allocating it to schools. The council's proposals are set out below for Schools Forum to vote on.

Growth Fund Criteria

1.2.3 Schools Forum is required to approve the criteria for allocating funding from the Growth Fund. We are proposing to retain the existing criteria for Leeds schools that have been in place for 2017/18, details of which are provided below.

1.2.4 Schools in Leeds are eligible for Growth Funding where expansion has occurred following an increase in the published admission number (PAN) to meet basic need, and where a consultation has taken place beforehand. No funding will be allocated to schools if they already have surplus places and take children up to the PAN, or if the school itself decides to admit pupils over the PAN.

1.2.5 Once the pupils are recorded in the October census, they will be funded through the following year's schools funding formula. However if pupil numbers are significantly lower in future years then some top up funding can be provided from the Growth Fund. To qualify for this, pupil numbers would need to be less than 80% of the agreed increase, and the top up would be paid to cover the difference between the number on roll and 80% of the increase that was agreed.

1.2.6 Growth Funding is paid to schools as a per pupil amount, with further funding available for existing schools that incur additional rental costs or for new schools with pre-opening staffing costs. The table below provides further details of the amounts payable.

Growth Funding available	Basis for allocation	Rate
Funding for all schools eligible for Growth Funding:		
Pupil funding	<p>Age Weighted Pupil Unit rate for each pupil (pro rata if part year).</p> <p>This is the basic entitlement all pupils receive through the schools funding formula.</p> <p>This is also the rate that applies where schools are eligible for the guaranteed top up funding detailed above.</p>	<p>The 2018/19 AWPU rates per year are shown below, and are the same as used in the funding formula:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary - £2,747.43 • KS3 - £3,863.26 • KS4 - £4,386.50 <p>As noted below at 1.3.2, the funding formula rates are subject to approval by the Director of Children and Families in line with the council's decision making framework.</p>

Growth Funding available	Basis for allocation	Rate
Immediate additional resources, equipment or furniture	Standard per pupil rate. In the case of a single 'bulge' year group this is only paid in the year of expansion.	£100 per pupil is proposed for 2018/19 (no change from 2017/18).
Funding available where applicable to schools:		
Additional rental costs	For temporary accommodation needed to meet agreed growth.	Funded at cost through the growth fund until the financial year following the increase in numbers, at which point we would seek to fund the rental costs through the funding formula (assuming the criteria for this are met).
Pre-opening costs of a new school or academy established to meet basic need	<p>Pre-opening allowance for specific staffing costs. Rate depends on whether it is a primary or secondary school, and the number of additional forms.</p> <p>Funding is to be managed by the governing body.</p>	<p>The rates proposed for 2018/19 are shown below. These are based on the previous amounts, uplifted for pay awards.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary 1 form entry - £52,010 • Primary 1.5 form entry - £55,390 • Primary 2 form entry - £59,900 • Secondary - £114,820 <p>A more detailed breakdown is provided in Appendix 1 for information.</p>

Growth Fund Value

- 1.2.7 Local authorities receive funding for growth from the ESFA as part of their schools block funding, based on the value of the Growth Fund agreed by their Schools Forum the previous year. As Schools Forum agreed to set aside £2.9m from the schools block for growth in 2017/18, our 2018/19 schools block allocation includes £2.9m for growth.
- 1.2.8 Schools Forum can however decide to set aside a different amount for the Growth Fund from the schools block if required. If the Growth Fund was increased this would decrease the amount of funding remaining in the schools block to be allocated to schools through the funding formula, and vice versa. Any underspends or overspends on the Growth Fund would form part of the overall DSG balance and be carried forward to the following year.
- 1.2.9 For 2018/19 we are proposing that the Growth Fund should be maintained at £2.9m based on anticipated growth. The £2.9m growth fund requirement is split between

£2.61m for primary growth and £259k for secondary growth. This funding requirement assumes that the Growth Fund criteria set out above are retained.

- 1.2.10 Schools Forum is requested to approve retaining £2.9m for Growth Funding from the schools block in 2018/19, and to agree the criteria for allocating this to schools.

1.3 Schools block funding formula 2018/19

- 1.3.1 At the November 2017 meeting Schools Forum supported our proposal that the 2018/19 school funding formula should move as close as possible to the national funding formula, in line with the majority vote by schools that responded to the consultation. We advised Schools Forum that we would update the individual school funding allocations once the ESFA had provided details of our final funding and the pupil numbers from the October 2017 census (note that these pupil numbers do not adjust for any reception pupils expected to start after October). We have now received this information and have updated the proposed school level allocations for 2018/19, which are attached to this report as appendix 2.
- 1.3.2 In line with our previous proposals, following these updates we are still able to provide schools with a minimum per pupil funding increase of 0.5% compared to 2017/18 baselines. The decision on the final formula will be made by the Director of Children's Services, in line with the council's decision making framework.
- 1.3.3 At the November 2017 meeting, Schools Forum members also supported our proposals to apply to the ESFA for permission to vary the funding rules for 2018/19 in a number of areas. This would allow us to replicate some of the new national funding formula rules that were not reflected in the local funding regulations.
- 1.3.4 The ESFA has confirmed that we are able to implement some of our proposals, however we are still awaiting confirmation on whether we can implement two of our requests.
- The first proposal we are awaiting an answer on affects 28 schools and academies where the figures we produced for the consultation showed a disproportionate reduction in funding when compared to the national funding formula allocation for 2018/19. We have made a request to the ESFA for permission to implement a technical adjustment in the formula that will enable us to rectify this issue.
 - The second request relates to allocating sparsity funding, which targets funding to small schools in sparsely populated areas. This request only affects two schools and the amounts involved are not significant in comparison to the school budgets. However the request has been made in order to be consistent with how funding will be allocated under the national funding formula.
- 1.3.5 The ESFA has advised that if we have not received a response to these two requests by the time our final formula submission is due to be provided to them (19th January 2018), we should submit the funding details on the basis that the requests have not been approved. The ESFA have advised that they may however subsequently

approve the requests, and if so they will ask us to update our formula details and resubmit them. Within the funding figures attached at appendix 2 we have therefore provided two funding scenarios; one shows funding if these two requests are approved, and another if they are not. Once we have received a final response from the ESFA on our requests we will update Schools Forum at a future meeting.

- 1.3.6 In relation to cluster funding, since 2017/18 this has formed part of the formula allocation and it is therefore already included within the figures provided in appendix 2. Up to 2016/17, cluster funding was top-sliced from the schools block and allocated separately to schools. However in October 2016 Schools Forum agreed that for 2017/18 it would be included within the normal schools funding formula as part of the transition towards the national funding formula. The cluster funding was therefore included within the 2017/18 baseline funding figures used to calculate the 2018/19 funding for schools. This approach has ensured that the 2018/19 formula has moved as close as possible to the national funding formula, in line with the principle supported by the majority of schools and Schools Forum.
- 1.3.7 In relation to PFI schools, in line with previous years these schools are allocated funding within the formula using a PFI factor, in order to account for some additional costs associated with PFI contracts. This funding is already included in the formula allocations detailed in appendix 2. We will confirm with PFI schools at a later date what the contribution from their budget will be towards PFI costs.
- 1.3.8 Schools Forum is asked to note and provide comments as necessary on the latest position in relation to the funding formula.

1.4 De-delegation

- 1.4.1 At the October 2017 meeting, Schools Forum approved the proposals for de-delegation for maintained schools in 2018/19. At that time we advised that the per-pupil costs provided were provisional based on the latest available data at that time, and would be updated in January 2018 if needed.
- 1.4.2 We are now able to confirm that there will be no increases in the 2018/19 de-delegation rates per pupil which provided to Schools Forum in October 2017, and we will be able to reduce the contribution in one case (the contingency fund) where the rate will reduce from £14.90 to £12.12. To achieve this we will be using £300k from the de-delegated reserves, which is a reasonable use of these reserves for 2018/19 based on the latest information.
- 1.4.3 This use of reserves fulfils a commitment we made in the November 2017 report to transfer back to maintained schools a proportion of any surplus on the de-delegated reserves, in order to partly account for the agreed severance contributions by maintained schools in 2018/19. We are making this adjustment through de-delegation contributions, rather than as a direct transfer to schools, because this is the most effective way for maintained schools to receive this within the regulations. We will consider any further use of de-delegated reserves to reduce maintained school contributions when de-delegation is reviewed again for 2019/20.

1.5 Central School Services Block

1.5.1 The Central School Services Block (CSSB) has been introduced in 2018/19 to fund local authorities for the statutory duties they hold for both maintained schools and academies. The CSSB brings together:

- funding previously allocated through the retained duties element of the Education Services Grant (ESG)
- funding for ongoing central functions, such as admissions, previously top-sliced from the schools block
- residual funding for historic commitments, previously top-sliced from the schools block

1.5.2 In December 2017, the Government issued a notification giving the amount of funding for this block. For 2018/19, this allocation has been set at £5,171,000 for Leeds. This is an increase of £170,000 compared to the 2017/18 baseline. In addition, Schools Forum in November 2017 agreed to transfer £500,000 from the CSSB to the High Needs Block. This leaves £4,671,000 to fund CSSB services.

1.5.3 Schools Forum approval is required each year to confirm the amounts on each line. Schools Forum previously gave full approval for the 2017/18 proposals. The amounts requested to be approved for 2018/19 are shown below.

Retained Duties element of the Education Services Grant

1.5.4 The Retained Duties element of the Education Services Grant was transferred into DSG in 2017/18. The onus is on each local authority to ensure that they retain this funding centrally in order to contribute to the cost of fulfilling their statutory duties for all schools and academies.

1.5.5 The detail of the services funded by this amount is provided below, and although the service provision has not changed since 2017/18, due to unavoidable inflationary increases the cost of these services has increased to £2.3m. Part of the cost of these services is met by the council (£490k). The remaining amount requested from the CSSB for 2018/19 is £1,810,210. This is an increase of £85,820 compared to 2017/18, when funding of £1,724,390 was agreed.

1.5.6 Examples of statutory functions that are included in this amount are:

- Strategic planning for the whole of the education service (sections 13 15B of the Education Act 1996) including the appointment of a Director of Children's Services (section 18 Children Act 2004)
- Preparation of the school funding formula and individual school/academy budget allocations (Local Government Act 1972) and inclusion of income and expenditure pertaining to education within the Authority's annual statement of accounts

- Performance of internal audit and other tasks necessary for the discharge of the Chief financial Officers responsibilities under S151 of the Local Government Act 1972
- Provision of information to or at the request of the Secretary of State (S29 of the 1996 Education Act)
- Making arrangements to identify children not receiving education (section 436A Education Act 1996)
- Issuing a code for penalty notices to address poor attendance and administer the penalty notice regime in accordance with the Education Regulations 2007 (and subsequent amendments); issuing written notices, school attendance orders and exercising the power to prosecute a parent for a child's non-attendance (section 446& 437 of the Education Act 1996). This can also include applying for an Education Supervision Order (section 447 of the Act)
- Management of the capital programme, including preparation and review of an Asset Management Plan and negotiation and management of private finance initiatives

Historic commitments

- 1.5.7 Historic commitments are subject to a limitation of no new commitments or increases in expenditure from 2017/18. The amounts requested for 2018/19 are as follows and have either stayed the same or reduced since 2017/18:
- 1.5.8 Repayment of capitalised equal pay costs (amount requested £499,340). This budget supports the borrowing costs of capitalised equal pay claims that were paid between 2007 and 2012. The remaining balance will be paid off in 2019-20.
- 1.5.9 Prudential borrowing (amount requested £515,000). This budget supports borrowing costs in relation to the ongoing debt repayment from the 2004-05 primary capital program, and is paid back over 25 years.
- 1.5.10 Headteacher Support Service (amount requested £54,410). This service provides a confidential listening and support service for all primary and special school Headteachers, and acting Headteachers. The service is provided by two members of staff in a job share post.
- 1.5.11 School support staff training (amount requested £46,330). This service sources, organises and co-ordinates training for school support staff. The service also provides induction training for school support staff. The service is provided by one member of staff.
- 1.5.12 Carbon reduction officer (amount requested £30,000). This budget funds officer time from the Projects, Programmes and Procurement Unit who support the project management of schemes initiated with the purpose of lowering the embodied carbon

emissions within the school estate. The amount requested has reduced by £20,000 compared to last year.

Ongoing Central functions

- 1.5.13 Admissions Service – for 2018/19, it is proposed to increase the funding from this service from £1,040, 680 to £1,160,790. In the past 4 years, the Admissions service has seen a 9% increase in applications for schools places. In addition, over the same period, there has been a 30% increase of in-year applications which have not been resolved at first application to school. These increases are expected to continue and in order to continue to function and provide the support, advice and guidance schools and families require, an increase is requested to fund a small expansion of the team.
- 1.5.14 Servicing of Schools Forum – this budget supports the administration and running of Schools Forum and associated sub groups. This service has not changed, but as a result of unavoidable inflationary costs, it is proposed to increase funding from £28,180 to £29,740.
- 1.5.15 The ESFA has agreed with a number of agencies to purchase a single national licence for all state funded schools in England. A full list of licences included in the single national licence is available on their website. The ESFA will pay the agencies and provide the service to local authorities. For Leeds this amounts to £525,180 in 2018/19 (a 4% increase). This arrangement covers maintained schools and academies and local authorities are allowed to hold the budget centrally rather than include it in school budgets. This item does not require Schools Forum approval.
- 1.5.16 Schools Forum is therefore requested to approve the amounts summarised below, totalling £4,671k centrally for ESG retained duties, ongoing central functions, historic commitments, and to note the increase in the charge for the single national licence.

	2017/18 (for information) £	2018/19 (for approval) £
Former ESG Retained Duties	1,724,390	1,810,210
Historic Commitments		
Equal pay borrowing	1,037,000	499,340
Prudential borrowing	515,000	515,000
Headteacher support service	54,410	54,410
School support staff training	46,330	46,330
Carbon Reduction Officer	50,000	30,000
Ongoing Responsibilities		
Admissions Service	1,040,790	1,160,790
Schools forum	28,180	29,740

	2017/18 (for information) £	2018/19 (for approval) £
ESFA central licence (for information only, no vote required by Schools Forum)	505,000	525,180
Total Central School Services Block	5,001,100	4,671,000

- 1.5.17 At the November 2017 meeting, Schools Forum supported our proposal to transfer up to £500k to the High Needs Block. At that time the exact amount was subject to confirmation of the costs and funding relating to the Central School Services Block. These figures have now been confirmed and we will be able to transfer the full £500k to the High Needs Block for 2018/19.
- 1.5.18 In addition to this, at the November Schools Forum meeting it was agreed that maintained schools would contribute a total of £500k for 2018/19 towards the severance costs of maintained school staff, which are charged to the council. At the time the rate per-pupil was proposed to be £7.25, although this was subject to change once the latest data became available. We are now able to confirm that the charge will not change, and will remain at £7.25 per maintained school pupil. As noted above at 1.3.1, in order to partly account for this severance contribution we have transferred some funding from de-delegated reserves to reduce the contribution needed from schools towards de-delegated services for 2018/19.

2 Recommendations

- 2.1 In relation to the Growth Fund for 2018/19, Schools Forum is asked to approve:
- a) The proposed criteria.
 - b) The total Growth Fund of £2.9m (split between £2.61m for primary growth and £259k for secondary growth).
 - This is a Schools Forum decision. In the event that Schools Forum does not agree, the DfE is able to adjudicate if we request this.
- 2.2 Schools Forum is asked to note the latest position on the school funding formula for 2018/19.
- The final decision on the formula will be taken by the Director of Children and Families in accordance with the council's decision making framework.
- 2.3 In relation to the Central School Services Block, Schools Forum is asked to approve the amounts summarised below for 2018/19 (apart from the ESFA central licence charge, which does not require approval).

- This is a Schools Forum decision. In the event that Schools Forum does not agree, the ESFA is able to adjudicate if we request this.

	2017/18 (for information) £	2018/19 (for approval) £
Former ESG Retained Duties	1,724,390	1,810,210
Historic Commitments		
Equal pay borrowing	1,037,000	499,340
Prudential borrowing	515,000	515,000
Headteacher support service	54,410	54,410
School support staff training	46,330	46,330
Carbon Reduction Officer	50,000	30,000
Ongoing Responsibilities		
Admissions Service	1,040,790	1,160,790
Schools forum	28,180	29,740
ESFA central licence (for information only, no vote required by Schools Forum)	505,000	525,180
Total Central School Services Block	5,001,100	4,671,000

This page is intentionally left blank

Appendix 1 – Pre Opening costs to be funded through the 2018/19 Growth Fund

Opening Schools - Costs prior to opening		Primary 1 FE	£	1.5 FE	£	2 FE	£	Secondary	£
Appointment of Headteacher	Summer term salary	(L12-18) L15	29,710	(L15-21) L18	32,020	(L18-24) L21	34,500	(L37-43) L40	55,210
Appointment of non-teaching	Superintendent - w.e.f. 1 July	Sup 1 37 hrs	3,540	Sup 1 37 Hrs	3,540	Sup 2 37 Hrs	4,210	Sup 2 37 Hrs	4,210
	Admin Officer - w.e.f. 1 July	C 1 TTO 30hrs	2,850	C1 TTO 32.5 hrs	3,100	C1 TTO 37 hrs	3,560	-	-
	Business Manager	-	-	-	-	-	-	PO5-6 37 hrs	17,840
	p.a. to Headteacher	-	-	-	-	-	-	S5 37 hrs	8,890
Costs of Appointment	Head - 8% of yr1 salary	(L12-18) L15	5,740	(L15-21) L18	6,180	(L18-24) L21	6,660	(L37-43) L40	10,660
	Deputy - 8% of yr1 salary	L9	4,940	L12	5,320	L15	5,740	L24	7,180
Governing Body meetings	5 full gov.body @ £100		570		570		570		570
	4 sub ctte. @ £100		460		460		460		460
Staff release time¹	Head - Spring term (1day/wk after 1/2 term)	7 Days	1,400	7 Days	1,400	7 Days	1,400	7 Days	1,400
	Deputy - Summer (1/2 day per week)	7 Days	1,400	7 Days	1,400	7 Days	1,400	21 Days	4,200
	Co-ords - Summer (1/2 day per week)	7 Days	1,400	7 Days	1,400	7 Days	1,400	21 Days	4,200
			52,010		55,390		59,900		114,820

This funding is a pre-opening allowance and should be managed by the Governing Body to meet all pre opening costs.

Actual grades and timescales are to be determined by the Governing Body. The funding forms part of the budget of the new school and any balance becomes part of the overall balance at the new school.

¹ Staff release time is intended to contribute towards the cost of releasing these members of staff from their existing posts at other schools if required, prior to them being paid through payroll at the opening school.

This page is intentionally left blank

Report to: Schools Forum

Date: 18/01/2018

Subject: Review of the High Needs Block of the Dedicated Schools Grant 2017: Update at January 2018, Subsequent to Recommendations of Schools Forum at November 2017

Appendices: Appendix 1 – Summary of 2018/19 financial projection
Appendix 2 – School by school impact of recommendations 1 and 2

1. Introduction and background

Schools Forum is aware of pressure on the High Needs Block of the Dedicated Schools Grant in Leeds, of the review that took place in 2017, and of previous reports reflecting the local position and national context.

The current overspend is projected to continue and increase further if existing funding arrangements continue. Significant areas of current and projected pressure on the HNB include:

- Increasing demand for FFI (SEN top up funding)
- Increasing demand for places in specialist provision;
- Continued need for outside specialist placements; this is anticipated to decrease as the new specialist SEMH provision in Leeds is established and becomes fully operational from 2018, but remains as short-term pressure.

At the October 17 Schools Forum meeting, the review was discussed and members considered its recommendations as above. The Forum confirmed the pressing need to address the ongoing overspend and indicated broad agreement with the recommended measures; however they requested that some additional information be made available, including more detailed modelling of some of the options, and that the issues be revisited at the November meeting of the Forum.

A further report was duly provided for the November 2017 meeting (<http://www.leeds.gov.uk/residents/Pages/Schools-forum.aspx>) which was accompanied by a breakdown of projected individual school budgets, to identify the impact of ceasing to automatically provide additional blocks of funding to supplement notional inclusion budgets in mainstream schools; and more detailed modelling of the various options for revising FFI unit sums.

However after further discussion of the proposal for changing the FFI unit value to £630, members suggested a preferred approach of changing the unit value to £600 as this appeared to result in a more equitable impact. It was understood that this would not apply to the SILCs as their entire school budget comes from the HNB, being made up of the £10k place value plus top-up funding for each pupil. To apply the reduction in the unit value to the SILCs would have a disproportionately negative impact on their school budgets and risk their sustainability. The way that this would operate in practice would be to apply the same unit value across all settings, and then to make an adjustment to the SILC budgets.

There was further discussion on the proposal to cease to provide additional funding to supplement notional inclusion budgets in mainstream schools. Currently, mainstream schools are asked to spend a minimum of 40% of their notional inclusion budget on providing 'blocks' of £6k to meet the needs of individual learners with higher level needs which will exceed £6k (further funding to meet those needs will be provided via application

for FFI). This is in line with national regulations that indicate that all mainstream schools should meet the SEND needs of learners up to a cost of £6k; however in Leeds we have supported those schools with a significantly greater cohort of learners with such needs, by providing them with additional blocks of 6k for those learners. These additional blocks of funding have a projected costs of £1m for 17/18 and are not typical nationally; in the review of the HNB, it appeared that relatively few local authorities provided additional funding in this way. However, Schools Forum members remained concerned about the potential impact on mainstream schools of ceasing to provide this funding; even with a suggestion that those in exceptional circumstances might still be able to apply for additional funding. Members instead proposed the raising of the percentage of the notional inclusion budget to be spent by schools on individual blocks of £6k before they can access any additional blocks, to 50% - as opposed to the current 40%. It was proposed to analyse the potential saving to be made should this approach be taken instead.

It was agreed that the HNB review working group would undertake further analysis as proposed, and return to the January Schools Forum (as per this report).

2. Updated 2018/19 HNB position

In December 2017, the ESFA announced the final baseline figures for 2018/19 for the High Needs Block. The increase is not quite as large as projected following clarification of the growth calculation. In addition, there is estimated to be an increase in deductions for places directly funded by the ESFA, largely due to a significant increase in pupils in post 16 settings from the 2018/19 academic year (from 503 to 566). The effect of these two issues is to reduce the anticipated grant income due by £0.591m to £57.8m, which is a difference of 1% compared to the grant figure previously reported.

There are two areas which have not yet been confirmed by the ESFA – the deductions for places directly funded by the ESFA as detailed above (which will not be confirmed until March) and there will also be an import / export adjustment which takes account of pupils attending schools in other local authority areas. This adjustment is based on the January 2018 Individual Learner Records submitted by providers and will not be issued to local authorities until May 2018. Although the latest information available on these issues has been included in the estimated grant income, the final allocation could still change.

The main area of change to the expenditure projections is that there has been an increase in the numbers of places commissioned from the SILCs resulting in an increase in place and top-up funding. This increase is partly offset by a reduction in top-up funding at other settings. Whilst all known increases have been taken into account, there are still risks around the ongoing demand for places and top-up payments.

Appendix 1 to this report provides a revised high level summary of the estimated 2018/19 position.

3. Further analysis and modelling of alternative approaches as proposed at the November Schools Forum:

As indicated in section 1 of this report, further work has been undertaken to model the impact on individual schools should the additional blocks of £6k be awarded to only when 50% of the budget has already been used for this purpose, as opposed to the current 40%.

This work has now been done and the impact can be seen in the attached tables.

Schools Forum has expressed concern that schools that might be considered to be very inclusive, and to support relatively high numbers of children with SEND, will be adversely affected by this proposed change. Of course it should be noted that those schools do receive higher levels of funding through FFI top-ups. However if a threshold of 50% is applied, only two schools would lose over £20k as a result of this change, which was considered to be a suitable benchmark.

Appendix 2 to this report is a school by school estimate of the impact of both reducing the unit rate to £600k and increasing the threshold for additional £6k blocks to 50%.

4. Area Inclusion Partnerships

One of the recommendations in the HNB consultation was that rigour be applied to analysis of funds not fully utilised by Area Inclusion Partnerships (AIPs). At the same time, it is noted that AIP provision is undergoing review and development to meet local and city-wide requirements. A new arrangement was trialed for 2017/18 which allocated funding for exceptional needs at £10k per place. These were divided into places for local use, and places to be allocated on a city-wide basis via the SEMH panel.

In total 94 places for city-wide use were commissioned from the 5 AIPs. In practice, for various reasons some areas were more able than others to make these places available. Of the 94 places commissioned, 64 have been provided. Discussions are ongoing with AIPs on this issue.

Recommendations:

The Forum is requested to note the following actions to bring the High Needs Block back into balance for 2018/19:

1. **The revision of the Funding for Inclusion (FFI) unit value to £600** (from the current £684).
2. **The revision to the system of awarding of additional blocks of funding to mainstream schools to supplement their notional inclusion budget**, where they have significant numbers of learners who have higher level support needs which will exceed costs of £6k, and who will be eligible for FFI. Currently, mainstream schools are asked to spend a minimum of 40% of their total notional inclusion budget on providing the blocks of the first £6k to meet the support needs of these learners, before any additional blocks of £6k to supplement their notional inclusion budget will be awarded. The proposed revision is to increase the minimum to 50% of the total notional inclusion budget.

This page is intentionally left blank

High Needs Block - Financial Projection 2018/19

	2016/17 Actual	2017/18 Original Estimate	2017/18 Projection	2018/19 Estimate (November)	2018/19 Estimate	Comments
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	
Total HNB grant due after ESFA deductions	55,097	56,759	57,242	58,391	57,800	Based on DSG issued 19/12/17. Some adjustments to this total will not be received until May 2018.
Passported to Institutions	53,407	49,305	53,915	54,849	54,821	Includes estimated increases in number of pupils with SEND.
Commissioned Services	1,372	1,371	1,389	1,549	1,549	Increased funding for hospital services as detailed by ESFA.
Directly Managed Services	4,352	5,314	4,639	4,769	4,583	Removal of Teenage Pregnancy Service, partly offset by unavoidable cost of living increases.
Other costs	718	769	535	1,395	1,395	Includes budgeting to reduce deficit by £1m from 2018/19 onwards.
	59,849	56,759	60,478	62,562	62,348	
In year shortfall (surplus)	4,752	0	3,236	4,171	4,548	
Options to reduce deficit						
- transfer from schools block				(2,000)	(2,000)	Further consultation would be required if a transfer is required in 2019/20.
- transfer from central school services block				(500)	(500)	Fall out of equal pay borrowing costs.
- reduce mainstream additional places allocations				(700)	(492)	November saving based on retaining a nominal budget for exceptional circumstances and the current saving is based on increasing the threshold from 40% to 50%.
- remove Teenage Pregnancy from HNB				(216)	0	Now removed from initial costs.
- changes to Funding For Inclusion - pre 16				(874)	(1,353)	November saving based on change in unit rate from 2018/19 to £630 (from £684) and the current saving is based on a rate of £600.
- changes to Funding For Inclusion - post 16				0	(158)	Change in unit rate from 2018/19 to £600 (from £684) from September 2018.
Total potential savings				(4,290)	(4,503)	
Revised In Year Shortfall (Surplus)				(119)	45	
Initial DSG deficit (surplus) before options to reduce overspend	4,161		5,046	8,217	8,594	
Revised DSG deficit if all options fully implemented	4,161		5,046	3,927	4,091	

Note - if all of the above savings were agreed, the repayment to reserves would reduce to £955k.

This page is intentionally left blank

MODELLING OF POTENTIAL OPTIONS FOR 2018/19 SEN FUNDING FOR PRE 16 PUPILS, COMPARED TO 2017/18 FUNDING

Schools sorted by their difference in funding if blocks of £6k threshold reduced to 50% & FFI unit value reduced to £600

School Name	Resourced Unit	NOR (See note 1)	High Needs Pupils in receipt of FFI			Baseline Funding Allocation 2017/18	Of Which: Notional SEN Funding 2017/18 (Rec-Y11)	Estimated Funding for High Needs Pupils 2017/18 (See note 2)	Total SEN Funding 2017/18	Reduce FFI unit value to £600	Reduction if threshold increased to 50% on additional £6k blocks	Total Potential Reduction	As a percentage of Total SEN Funding	As a percentage of Total Budget
			Summer 17	Autumn 17	Spring 18 (estimate)									
Early Years Providers														
Private, Independent & Voluntary Settings			115	100	84		£0	£672,570	£672,570	-£82,596		-£82,596		
Childrens Centre's - Maintained			107	58	78		£0	£558,144	£558,144	-£68,544		-£68,544		
Childrens Centre's - Non Maintained			15	8	12		£0	£86,742	£86,742	-£10,653		-£10,653		
SUB TOTALS			237	166	174		£0	£1,317,456	£1,317,456	-£161,793	£0	-£161,793		
Maintained Primary Schools														
Hunslet Carr Primary School		397	28	19	22	£1,662,089	£209,044	£175,300	£384,344	-£16,800	-£24,000	-£40,800	-10.62%	-2.22%
Greenhill Primary School		380	22	22	23	£1,505,411	£174,859	£229,930	£404,789	-£20,930	-£18,000	-£38,930	-9.62%	-2.24%
Farsley Farfield Primary School		419	15	16	14	£1,459,233	£127,286	£125,814	£253,100	-£11,214	-£18,000	-£29,214	-11.54%	-1.84%
New Bewerley Community School	Y	416	29	32	32	£1,851,663	£241,331	£366,806	£608,137	-£13,888	-£14,000	-£27,888	-4.59%	-1.26%
Pudsey Waterloo Primary		408	13	12	12	£1,503,192	£141,353	£89,330	£230,683	-£8,330	-£18,000	-£26,330	-11.41%	-1.65%
Southroyd Primary and Nursery School		417	15	13	14	£1,476,206	£150,118	£113,688	£263,806	-£12,488	-£12,000	-£24,488	-9.28%	-1.54%
Thorpe Primary School		236	16	12	15	£927,230	£81,646	£135,146	£216,792	-£11,746	-£12,000	-£23,746	-10.95%	-2.24%
Medhow Primary School	Y	482	20	17	18	£1,754,222	£131,076	£179,863	£310,939	-£10,066	-£12,000	-£22,066	-7.10%	-1.14%
Rawdon Littlemoor Primary School		320	9	12	12	£1,249,060	£78,789	£117,725	£196,514	-£9,975	-£12,000	-£21,975	-11.18%	-1.61%
Middleton Primary School	Y	428	19	21	19	£1,872,138	£255,376	£143,633	£399,010	-£13,496	-£7,000	-£20,496	-5.14%	-1.02%
Whitcote Primary School		382	16	21	16	£1,551,642	£183,122	£112,678	£295,800	-£12,978	-£7,000	-£19,978	-6.75%	-1.20%
How Road Primary School		139	11	10	11	£691,732	£77,755	£90,876	£168,631	-£7,476	-£12,000	-£19,476	-11.55%	-2.49%
Guiseley Primary School		331	11	8	8	£1,142,872	£93,316	£78,666	£171,982	-£7,266	-£12,000	-£19,266	-11.20%	-1.58%
Rothwell Primary School		307	12	10	10	£1,311,475	£107,063	£80,868	£187,931	-£7,168	-£12,000	-£19,168	-10.20%	-1.38%
Fieldhead Carr Primary School		206	12	9	9	£851,992	£65,181	£84,292	£149,473	-£5,992	-£12,000	-£17,992	-12.04%	-1.92%
Blackgates Primary School		368	11	11	11	£1,414,246	£155,687	£101,418	£257,105	-£11,718	-£6,000	-£17,718	-6.89%	-1.17%
Beeston Hill St Luke's C of E Primary School		331	12	15	11	£1,334,692	£151,494	£89,352	£240,846	-£10,052	-£7,500	-£17,552	-7.29%	-1.23%
Seacroft Grange Primary School		206	11	10	10	£981,875	£132,589	£74,392	£206,981	-£8,092	-£8,500	-£16,592	-8.02%	-1.57%
Ireland Wood Primary School	Y	413	24	23	23	£1,653,010	£187,974	£341,666	£529,640	-£16,016	£0	-£16,016	-3.02%	-0.80%
Adel Primary School		210	10	8	11	£802,480	£50,263	£111,318	£161,581	-£9,618	-£6,000	-£15,618	-9.67%	-1.71%
Queensway Primary School		182	15	13	12	£759,200	£77,887	£117,468	£195,355	-£9,268	-£6,000	-£15,268	-7.82%	-1.74%
Woodlesford Primary School		415	10	8	8	£1,404,930	£101,187	£61,616	£162,803	-£6,216	-£8,500	-£14,716	-9.04%	-1.00%
Meadowfield Primary School		412	20	17	17	£1,813,963	£267,244	£117,192	£384,436	-£14,392	£0	-£14,392	-3.74%	-0.75%
Kirkstall Valley Primary School		207	8	9	11	£872,981	£74,928	£83,988	£158,916	-£8,288	-£6,000	-£14,288	-8.99%	-1.49%
Robin Hood Primary School		365	7	6	6	£1,252,844	£80,054	£50,794	£130,848	-£5,194	-£8,500	-£13,694	-10.47%	-1.05%
Colton Primary School		211	9	8	9	£775,415	£50,171	£88,596	£138,767	-£7,196	-£6,000	-£13,196	-9.51%	-1.53%
Strawberry Fields Primary School		309	6	9	9	£1,134,550	£109,137	£57,274	£166,411	-£6,174	-£7,000	-£13,174	-7.92%	-1.11%
Lane End Primary		211	4	8	8	£1,010,127	£96,455	£54,880	£151,335	-£5,880	-£7,000	-£12,880	-8.51%	-1.21%
Sharp Lane Primary School		506	15	13	16	£1,908,114	£194,690	£103,512	£298,202	-£12,712	£0	-£12,712	-4.26%	-0.63%
Bankside Primary School		625	16	14	20	£2,602,837	£311,082	£96,843	£407,925	-£11,893	£0	-£11,893	-2.92%	-0.44%
Windmill Primary School		421	14	16	16	£1,878,215	£243,874	£96,444	£340,318	-£11,844	£0	-£11,844	-3.48%	-0.60%
Talbot Primary School		452	11	9	11	£1,511,938	£109,079	£70,931	£180,010	-£8,281	-£3,500	-£11,781	-6.54%	-0.74%
Shire Oak C of E Primary School		207	8	9	9	£842,478	£71,295	£67,460	£138,755	-£5,460	-£6,000	-£11,460	-8.26%	-1.26%
Broadgate Primary School		271	6	9	10	£1,136,537	£123,372	£66,884	£190,256	-£7,784	-£3,500	-£11,284	-5.93%	-0.94%
Alwoodley Primary School		424	8	9	10	£1,520,038	£120,629	£62,780	£183,409	-£7,280	-£3,500	-£10,780	-5.88%	-0.68%
Westgate Primary School		212	7	6	6	£781,638	£62,085	£51,322	£113,407	-£4,522	-£6,000	-£10,522	-9.28%	-1.26%
Meanwood C of E Primary School		214	6	5	5	£785,031	£49,127	£50,182	£99,309	-£4,382	-£6,000	-£10,382	-10.45%	-1.24%

MODELLING OF POTENTIAL OPTIONS FOR 2018/19 SEN FUNDING FOR PRE 16 PUPILS, COMPARED TO 2017/18 FUNDING

Schools sorted by their difference in funding if blocks of £6k threshold reduced to 50% & FFI unit value reduced to £600

School Name	Resourced Unit	NOR (See note 1)	High Needs Pupils in receipt of FFI			Baseline Funding Allocation 2017/18	Of Which: Notional SEN Funding 2017/18 (Rec-Y11)	Estimated Funding for High Needs Pupils 2017/18 (See note 2)	Total SEN Funding 2017/18	Reduce FFI unit value to £600	Reduction if threshold increased to 50% on additional £6k blocks	Total Potential Reduction	As a percentage of Total SEN Funding	As a percentage of Total Budget
			Summer 17	Autumn 17	Spring 18 (estimate)									
Beeston Primary School		605	16	12	13	£2,195,436	£273,717	£82,764	£356,481	£-10,164	£0	£-10,164	-2.85%	-0.45%
Kerr Mackie Primary School		425	10	9	8	£1,511,957	£116,986	£61,552	£178,538	£-7,252	£-2,500	£-9,752	-5.46%	-0.62%
Pool-in-Wharfedale C of E Voluntary Controlled Primary School		207	5	5	5	£751,116	£46,129	£42,096	£88,225	£-3,696	£-6,000	£-9,696	-10.99%	-1.22%
Harehills Primary School		629	13	11	10	£2,613,833	£313,401	£76,836	£390,237	£-9,436	£0	£-9,436	-2.42%	-0.35%
Grange Farm Primary School		401	15	12	14	£1,670,907	£229,188	£76,722	£305,910	£-9,422	£0	£-9,422	-3.08%	-0.54%
Hollybush Primary School		424	16	18	17	£1,888,540	£253,362	£76,380	£329,742	£-9,380	£0	£-9,380	-2.84%	-0.48%
Deighton Gates Primary School		205	5	5	5	£753,673	£48,260	£36,624	£84,884	£-3,024	£-6,000	£-9,024	-10.63%	-1.14%
Roundhay St John's C of E Primary School		213	8	5	6	£805,705	£68,940	£49,470	£118,410	£-4,970	£-4,000	£-8,970	-7.58%	-1.05%
Greenmount Primary School		418	13	11	12	£1,810,000	£265,692	£72,960	£338,652	£-8,960	£0	£-8,960	-2.65%	-0.48%
Westbrook Lane Primary		211	4	4	4	£765,510	£44,609	£29,370	£73,979	£-2,870	£-6,000	£-8,870	-11.99%	-1.12%
Shadwell Primary School		211	4	3	3	£761,531	£36,915	£31,072	£67,987	£-2,772	£-6,000	£-8,772	-12.90%	-1.11%
Park Spring Primary School		331	12	10	11	£1,403,366	£147,502	£68,685	£216,187	£-8,435	£0	£-8,435	-3.90%	-0.57%
Ashfield Primary School		206	6	7	9	£847,081	£86,399	£43,400	£129,799	£-4,900	£-3,500	£-8,400	-6.47%	-0.94%
Whingate Primary School		404	13	11	12	£1,590,144	£201,402	£68,172	£269,574	£-8,372	£0	£-8,372	-3.11%	-0.50%
Beechwood Primary School		416	12	13	10	£1,722,423	£232,752	£68,058	£300,810	£-8,358	£0	£-8,358	-2.78%	-0.47%
Cookridge Holy Trinity C of E Primary School		417	7	6	6	£1,390,149	£96,742	£41,146	£137,888	£-4,746	£-2,500	£-7,246	-5.25%	-0.51%
Moortown Primary School		210	2	5	5	£800,625	£53,442	£30,746	£84,188	£-3,346	£-3,500	£-6,846	-8.13%	-0.82%
Templenewsam Halton Primary		421	9	8	8	£1,510,719	£144,477	£55,290	£199,767	£-6,790	£0	£-6,790	-3.40%	-0.43%
Chapel Allerton Primary School		444	8	8	7	£1,668,665	£169,406	£54,834	£224,240	£-6,734	£0	£-6,734	-3.00%	-0.39%
Lawns Park Primary School		212	6	5	5	£852,840	£73,323	£36,244	£109,567	£-4,144	£-2,500	£-6,644	-6.06%	-0.75%
Spanningley Primary School		213	8	5	4	£876,173	£89,406	£38,402	£127,808	£-4,102	£-2,500	£-6,602	-5.17%	-0.72%
Castleton Primary School		226	10	9	7	£1,009,322	£127,844	£52,383	£180,227	£-6,433	£0	£-6,433	-3.57%	-0.61%
Hovingham Primary School		599	9	8	9	£2,483,907	£312,956	£52,326	£365,282	£-6,426	£0	£-6,426	-1.76%	-0.25%
Weetwood Primary School		209	5	6	5	£774,935	£38,960	£63,756	£102,716	£-6,356	£0	£-6,356	-6.19%	-0.76%
St Margaret's C of E Primary School		424	8	7	7	£1,663,967	£117,113	£50,388	£167,501	£-6,188	£0	£-6,188	-3.69%	-0.36%
Little London Community Primary School		520	9	9	9	£2,238,520	£258,483	£50,046	£308,529	£-6,146	£0	£-6,146	-1.99%	-0.27%
Highfield Primary School		448	8	7	7	£1,521,072	£115,933	£49,818	£165,751	£-6,118	£0	£-6,118	-3.69%	-0.39%
Allerton C of E Primary School		448	10	7	9	£1,769,385	£192,417	£49,020	£241,437	£-6,020	£0	£-6,020	-2.49%	-0.33%
Iveson Primary School		257	6	8	9	£1,136,392	£127,965	£46,170	£174,135	£-5,670	£0	£-5,670	-3.26%	-0.48%
Primrose Lane Primary School		209	5	3	3	£758,584	£45,235	£30,080	£75,315	£-3,080	£-2,500	£-5,580	-7.41%	-0.71%
Wigton Moor Primary School		445	6	6	6	£1,544,570	£115,687	£45,372	£161,059	£-5,572	£0	£-5,572	-3.46%	-0.35%
Bramley St Peter's CE Primary School		358	7	10	10	£1,447,289	£180,655	£43,206	£223,861	£-5,306	£0	£-5,306	-2.37%	-0.36%
Carr Manor Primary School		457	8	7	8	£1,632,431	£128,179	£43,092	£171,271	£-5,292	£0	£-5,292	-3.09%	-0.32%
St Philip's Catholic Primary School		242	5	5	5	£979,579	£98,012	£42,408	£140,420	£-5,208	£0	£-5,208	-3.71%	-0.51%
St Bartholomew's CofE Primary School		608	10	7	6	£2,522,301	£319,907	£42,180	£362,087	£-5,180	£0	£-5,180	-1.43%	-0.20%
Fountain Primary School		411	8	7	8	£1,490,986	£137,950	£41,553	£179,503	£-5,103	£0	£-5,103	-2.84%	-0.33%
Hugh Gaitskell Primary School		564	6	9	8	£2,281,976	£279,895	£41,382	£321,277	£-5,082	£0	£-5,082	-1.58%	-0.22%
Bramley Primary School		284	7	8	8	£1,217,984	£148,503	£41,268	£189,771	£-5,068	£0	£-5,068	-2.67%	-0.40%
Corpus Christi Catholic Primary School		321	5	5	5	£1,314,080	£138,948	£41,040	£179,988	£-5,040	£0	£-5,040	-2.80%	-0.37%
Drighlington Primary School		396	11	7	9	£1,437,513	£137,384	£40,356	£177,740	£-4,956	£0	£-4,956	-2.79%	-0.34%
Shakespeare Primary School		359	6	5	7	£1,820,844	£195,206	£44,523	£239,729	£-4,956	£0	£-4,956	-2.07%	-0.27%
Grimes Dyke Primary School	Y	260	11	10	9	£1,157,264	£135,773	£129,697	£265,470	£-4,904	£0	£-4,904	-1.85%	-0.38%
Morley Victoria Primary School		421	5	6	6	£1,456,934	£122,711	£39,330	£162,041	£-4,830	£0	£-4,830	-2.98%	-0.32%
Middleton St Mary's C of E Primary School		415	5	5	6	£1,704,799	£217,172	£38,532	£255,704	£-4,732	£0	£-4,732	-1.85%	-0.27%
Farsley Springbank Primary School		399	6	7	7	£1,366,433	£103,243	£38,418	£141,661	£-4,718	£0	£-4,718	-3.33%	-0.34%
Seven Hills Primary School		417	7	6	6	£1,541,285	£157,721	£38,418	£196,139	£-4,718	£0	£-4,718	-2.41%	-0.30%

MODELLING OF POTENTIAL OPTIONS FOR 2018/19 SEN FUNDING FOR PRE 16 PUPILS, COMPARED TO 2017/18 FUNDING

Schools sorted by their difference in funding if blocks of £6k threshold reduced to 50% & FFI unit value reduced to £600

School Name	Resourced Unit	NOR (See note 1)	High Needs Pupils in receipt of FFI			Baseline Funding Allocation 2017/18	Of Which: Notional SEN Funding 2017/18 (Rec-Y11)	Estimated Funding for High Needs Pupils 2017/18 (See note 2)	Total SEN Funding 2017/18	Reduce FFI unit value to £600	Reduction if threshold increased to 50% on additional £6k blocks	Total Potential Reduction	As a percentage of Total SEN Funding	As a percentage of Total Budget
			Summer 17	Autumn 17	Spring 18 (estimate)									
St Augustine's Catholic Primary School		420	6	6	6	£1,607,261	£186,950	£37,506	£224,456	£-4,606	£0	£-4,606	-2.05%	-0.28%
St Theresa's Catholic Primary School		424	7	6	6	£1,491,526	£126,674	£37,050	£163,724	£-4,550	£0	£-4,550	-2.78%	-0.30%
Cobden Primary School		198	5	5	5	£879,068	£97,788	£36,252	£134,040	£-4,452	£0	£-4,452	-3.32%	-0.49%
Hunslet Moor Primary School		316	10	5	5	£1,401,786	£156,136	£36,138	£192,274	£-4,438	£0	£-4,438	-2.31%	-0.31%
Immaculate Heart of Mary Catholic Primary School		420	7	7	7	£1,378,218	£100,770	£36,138	£136,908	£-4,438	£0	£-4,438	-3.24%	-0.31%
Asquith Primary School		335	5	6	6	£1,341,438	£106,533	£35,682	£142,215	£-4,382	£0	£-4,382	-3.08%	-0.32%
Moor Allerton Hall Primary School	Y	419	11	16	16	£1,644,184	£172,539	£141,287	£313,827	£-4,354	£0	£-4,354	-1.39%	-0.24%
Summerfield Primary School		205	5	5	6	£903,923	£104,149	£34,770	£138,919	£-4,270	£0	£-4,270	-3.07%	-0.45%
Blenheim Primary School		402	7	7	7	£1,804,965	£163,798	£34,542	£198,340	£-4,242	£0	£-4,242	-2.14%	-0.23%
Pudsey Primrose Hill Primary		407	8	4	7	£1,441,474	£120,749	£34,542	£155,291	£-4,242	£0	£-4,242	-2.73%	-0.29%
Parklands Primary School	Y	302	17	19	19	£1,457,957	£180,630	£256,785	£437,415	£-4,200	£0	£-4,200	-0.96%	-0.24%
Whitkirk Primary School		411	7	5	5	£1,478,687	£151,013	£32,946	£183,959	£-4,046	£0	£-4,046	-2.20%	-0.27%
Horsforth Newlaithes Primary School		412	7	7	7	£1,368,673	£113,058	£32,832	£145,890	£-4,032	£0	£-4,032	-2.76%	-0.29%
Pudsey Greenside Primary School		310	5	5	5	£1,074,896	£73,004	£32,832	£105,836	£-4,032	£0	£-4,032	-3.81%	-0.36%
Bracken Edge Primary School		430	8	6	6	£1,872,301	£233,117	£31,692	£264,809	£-3,892	£0	£-3,892	-1.47%	-0.20%
Hawthorn Wood Primary School	Y	253	7	6	6	£1,127,950	£113,094	£69,037	£182,131	£-3,654	£0	£-3,654	-2.01%	-0.31%
St Matthew's C of E Aided Primary School		412	5	5	5	£1,452,908	£134,822	£29,640	£164,462	£-3,640	£0	£-3,640	-2.21%	-0.25%
St Oswald's C of E Primary School		300	5	6	6	£1,327,490	£167,106	£29,412	£196,518	£-3,612	£0	£-3,612	-1.84%	-0.27%
St Oswald's C of E Primary School		432	5	5	5	£1,437,041	£101,680	£29,298	£130,978	£-3,598	£0	£-3,598	-2.75%	-0.25%
Scholes (Elmet) Primary School		295	6	5	6	£1,054,980	£71,990	£28,842	£100,832	£-3,542	£0	£-3,542	-3.51%	-0.33%
Beeston St Francis of Assisi Catholic Primary		206	4	4	4	£900,822	£98,588	£28,272	£126,860	£-3,472	£0	£-3,472	-2.74%	-0.37%
Clapgate Primary School		371	6	4	4	£1,546,267	£208,040	£27,816	£235,856	£-3,416	£0	£-3,416	-1.45%	-0.22%
Swinnow Primary School		211	4	5	5	£863,946	£85,811	£27,816	£113,627	£-3,416	£0	£-3,416	-3.01%	-0.38%
St Mary's C of E Primary School Boston Spa		137	3	2	2	£560,514	£34,961	£9,682	£44,643	£-882	£-2,500	£-3,382	-7.58%	-0.59%
All Saints C of E Primary School		219	4	4	3	£973,917	£108,389	£26,505	£134,894	£-3,255	£0	£-3,255	-2.41%	-0.33%
Gildersome Primary School		364	5	5	5	£1,329,313	£123,687	£25,992	£149,679	£-3,192	£0	£-3,192	-2.13%	-0.24%
Thorner C.E. Primary School		186	3	3	3	£704,917	£43,919	£24,624	£68,543	£-3,024	£0	£-3,024	-4.41%	-0.41%
Methley Primary School		414	5	5	4	£1,626,080	£95,423	£24,168	£119,591	£-2,968	£0	£-2,968	-2.48%	-0.18%
Our Lady of Good Counsel Catholic Primary School		211	6	4	4	£887,233	£92,729	£23,484	£116,213	£-2,884	£0	£-2,884	-2.48%	-0.32%
Ninelands Primary School		420	4	4	4	£1,409,860	£122,550	£23,256	£145,806	£-2,856	£0	£-2,856	-1.96%	-0.20%
Tranmere Park Primary School		357	2	3	3	£1,143,298	£53,144	£22,002	£75,146	£-2,702	£0	£-2,702	-3.60%	-0.23%
Churwell Primary School		418	4	4	4	£1,468,402	£137,444	£21,888	£159,332	£-2,688	£0	£-2,688	-1.69%	-0.18%
Pudsey Bolton Royd Primary School		419	2	4	4	£1,845,501	£160,851	£21,888	£182,739	£-2,688	£0	£-2,688	-1.47%	-0.14%
Rothwell St Mary's Catholic Primary School		211	5	4	4	£759,203	£39,023	£28,660	£67,683	£-2,660	£0	£-2,660	-3.93%	-0.34%
Wykebeck Primary School		394	3	4	5	£1,765,994	£233,847	£21,660	£255,507	£-2,660	£0	£-2,660	-1.04%	-0.15%
Raynville Primary School		413	5	3	2	£1,661,551	£211,133	£21,432	£232,565	£-2,632	£0	£-2,632	-1.13%	-0.16%
St Peter's C of E Primary School, Leeds		210	4	2	4	£934,403	£99,772	£20,862	£120,634	£-2,562	£0	£-2,562	-2.12%	-0.27%
Holy Family Catholic Primary School		209	3	5	6	£881,159	£82,829	£20,634	£103,463	£-2,534	£0	£-2,534	-2.45%	-0.28%
Rosebank Primary School		280	4	2	3	£1,257,162	£156,282	£20,634	£176,916	£-2,534	£0	£-2,534	-1.43%	-0.20%
Quarry Mount Primary School		206	3	3	3	£961,570	£118,615	£20,520	£139,135	£-2,520	£0	£-2,520	-1.81%	-0.26%
Cookridge Primary School		304	5	3	3	£1,347,318	£111,148	£20,406	£131,554	£-2,506	£0	£-2,506	-1.90%	-0.18%
Beecroft Primary School		268	2	2	4	£1,154,269	£93,235	£20,292	£113,527	£-2,492	£0	£-2,492	-2.20%	-0.21%
Westwood Primary School		287	3	3	4	£1,199,855	£165,532	£19,722	£185,254	£-2,422	£0	£-2,422	-1.31%	-0.20%
Calverley Parkside Primary School		202	2	5	2	£759,266	£51,820	£19,152	£70,972	£-2,352	£0	£-2,352	-3.31%	-0.30%
Oulton Primary School		333	5	2	3	£1,325,672	£145,269	£19,038	£164,307	£-2,338	£0	£-2,338	-1.42%	-0.17%
Adel St John the Baptist C of E Primary		212	2	2	2	£763,164	£45,278	£17,784	£63,062	£-2,184	£0	£-2,184	-3.46%	-0.28%

MODELLING OF POTENTIAL OPTIONS FOR 2018/19 SEN FUNDING FOR PRE 16 PUPILS, COMPARED TO 2017/18 FUNDING

Schools sorted by their difference in funding if blocks of £6k threshold reduced to 50% & FFI unit value reduced to £600

School Name	Resourced Unit	NOR (See note 1)	High Needs Pupils in receipt of FFI			Baseline Funding Allocation 2017/18	Of Which: Notional SEN Funding 2017/18 (Rec-Y11)	Estimated Funding for High Needs Pupils 2017/18 (See note 2)	Total SEN Funding 2017/18	Reduce FFI unit value to £600	Reduction if threshold increased to 50% on additional £6k blocks	Total Potential Reduction	As a percentage of Total SEN Funding	As a percentage of Total Budget
			Summer 17	Autumn 17	Spring 18 (estimate)									
Holy Rosary and St Anne's Catholic Primary School		210	2	3	3	£935,755	£103,734	£17,670	£121,404	£-2,170	£0	£-2,170	-1.79%	-0.23%
Hunslet St Mary's C of E Primary School		228	1	3	3	£946,399	£105,691	£16,986	£122,677	£-2,086	£0	£-2,086	-1.70%	-0.22%
Cross Gates Primary School		207	2	3	3	£927,674	£106,592	£16,644	£123,236	£-2,044	£0	£-2,044	-1.66%	-0.22%
Christ Church Upper Armley C of E Primary		194	4	3	3	£832,922	£88,346	£16,530	£104,876	£-2,030	£0	£-2,030	-1.94%	-0.24%
Lower Wortley Primary School		312	3	3	2	£1,383,806	£116,487	£16,416	£132,903	£-2,016	£0	£-2,016	-1.52%	-0.14%
Barwick-in-Elmet C of E Primary School		198	1	1	2	£755,222	£56,059	£16,074	£72,133	£-1,974	£0	£-1,974	-2.74%	-0.26%
Yeadon Westfield Junior School		233	3	4	4	£862,520	£63,790	£15,276	£79,066	£-1,876	£0	£-1,876	-2.37%	-0.21%
St Joseph's Catholic Primary School, Wetherby		212	3	2	2	£753,653	£41,033	£15,162	£56,195	£-1,862	£0	£-1,862	-3.31%	-0.24%
Rothwell Victoria Junior School		156	2	3	3	£672,665	£58,945	£14,934	£73,879	£-1,834	£0	£-1,834	-2.48%	-0.27%
Mill Field Primary School		401	3	3	3	£1,747,319	£227,876	£14,364	£242,240	£-1,764	£0	£-1,764	-0.73%	-0.10%
St Patrick Catholic Primary School		211	2	2	2	£845,554	£78,103	£13,680	£91,783	£-1,680	£0	£-1,680	-1.83%	-0.20%
Bramhope Primary School		278	2	2	2	£938,700	£42,737	£12,312	£55,049	£-1,512	£0	£-1,512	-2.75%	-0.16%
Yeadon Westfield Infant School		178	3	2	2	£663,136	£43,476	£12,084	£55,560	£-1,484	£0	£-1,484	-2.67%	-0.22%
Pudsey Lowtown Primary School		210	1	2	2	£802,424	£57,303	£11,856	£69,159	£-1,456	£0	£-1,456	-2.11%	-0.18%
Spring Bank Primary School		214	2	1	1	£950,505	£76,049	£11,856	£87,905	£-1,456	£0	£-1,456	-1.66%	-0.15%
Harewood C of E Primary School		106	1	2	2	£463,801	£22,921	£11,400	£34,321	£-1,400	£0	£-1,400	-4.08%	-0.29%
Austhorpe Primary School		211	2	2	2	£793,983	£62,663	£10,944	£73,607	£-1,344	£0	£-1,344	-1.83%	-0.17%
St Paul's Catholic Primary School		208	1	2	2	£787,801	£54,118	£10,830	£64,948	£-1,330	£0	£-1,330	-2.05%	-0.17%
Rufford Park Primary School		274	2	2	2	£1,179,313	£98,308	£9,576	£107,884	£-1,176	£0	£-1,176	-1.09%	-0.10%
St Edward's Catholic Primary School, Boston Spa		151	1	1	1	£574,894	£26,185	£9,576	£35,761	£-1,176	£0	£-1,176	-3.29%	-0.20%
Westroyd Primary School		138	2	2	2	£574,301	£35,630	£9,576	£45,206	£-1,176	£0	£-1,176	-2.60%	-0.20%
St Nicholas Catholic Primary School		287	3	2	2	£1,138,654	£116,612	£9,348	£125,960	£-1,148	£0	£-1,148	-0.91%	-0.10%
Five Lanes Primary School		419	0	2	2	£1,620,597	£137,715	£9,120	£146,835	£-1,120	£0	£-1,120	-0.76%	-0.07%
Manston Primary School		211	1	2	2	£853,096	£70,886	£8,892	£79,778	£-1,092	£0	£-1,092	-1.37%	-0.13%
Bramham Primary School		138	1	1	1	£572,243	£36,159	£8,208	£44,367	£-1,008	£0	£-1,008	-2.27%	-0.17%
Brodetsky Primary School		283	2	2	2	£1,018,089	£58,814	£8,208	£67,022	£-1,008	£0	£-1,008	-1.50%	-0.10%
Otley All Saints C of E Primary School		217	2	2	2	£793,273	£47,513	£8,208	£55,721	£-1,008	£0	£-1,008	-1.81%	-0.13%
Ingram Road Primary School		321	3	2	2	£1,501,023	£202,160	£7,980	£210,140	£-980	£0	£-980	-0.47%	-0.06%
Whinmoor St Paul's C of E Primary School		199	2	1	1	£778,778	£63,446	£7,296	£70,742	£-896	£0	£-896	-1.27%	-0.11%
Crossley Street Primary School		203	0	2	2	£757,211	£51,554	£7,182	£58,736	£-882	£0	£-882	-1.50%	-0.12%
Brudenell Primary School		262	2	2	2	£1,169,643	£123,757	£6,840	£130,597	£-840	£0	£-840	-0.64%	-0.07%
Micklefield C of E Primary School		90	1	1	1	£467,692	£40,817	£6,840	£47,657	£-840	£0	£-840	-1.76%	-0.18%
St Anthony's Catholic Primary School, Beeston		213	1	1	1	£827,499	£73,234	£6,840	£80,074	£-840	£0	£-840	-1.05%	-0.10%
Thorp Arch Lady Elizabeth Hastings' C of E Primary		134	2	2	2	£538,600	£32,442	£6,840	£39,282	£-840	£0	£-840	-2.14%	-0.15%
Sacred Heart Catholic Primary School		205	2	1	1	£883,611	£83,545	£5,928	£89,473	£-728	£0	£-728	-0.81%	-0.08%
Rawdon St Peter's C of E Primary School		305	2	0	0	£1,051,143	£67,444	£5,700	£73,144	£-700	£0	£-700	-0.96%	-0.07%
Pudsey Tyersal Primary School		202	2	1	1	£843,107	£93,991	£5,586	£99,577	£-686	£0	£-686	-0.69%	-0.08%
Birchfield Primary School		205	1	1	1	£783,707	£60,626	£5,472	£66,098	£-672	£0	£-672	-1.02%	-0.09%
St Joseph's Catholic Primary School, Hunslet		204	1	1	1	£905,776	£89,353	£5,472	£94,825	£-672	£0	£-672	-0.71%	-0.07%
St Urban's Catholic Primary School		210	2	2	2	£795,039	£52,815	£5,472	£58,287	£-672	£0	£-672	-1.15%	-0.08%
Otley The Whartons Primary School		175	1	2	2	£674,253	£56,186	£5,130	£61,316	£-630	£0	£-630	-1.03%	-0.09%
Armley Primary School		181	1	1	1	£815,897	£86,786	£4,446	£91,232	£-546	£0	£-546	-0.60%	-0.07%
Rothwell Haigh Road Infant School		132	1	1	1	£597,763	£41,963	£4,446	£46,409	£-546	£0	£-546	-1.18%	-0.09%
Burley St Matthias' C of E Primary School		205	0	1	1	£942,756	£104,509	£3,990	£108,499	£-490	£0	£-490	-0.45%	-0.05%
Hawksworth C of E Primary School		106	0	1	1	£447,104	£23,892	£3,990	£27,882	£-490	£0	£-490	-1.76%	-0.11%
St Francis Catholic Primary School, Morley		189	0	1	1	£743,732	£58,548	£3,990	£62,538	£-490	£0	£-490	-0.78%	-0.07%

MODELLING OF POTENTIAL OPTIONS FOR 2018/19 SEN FUNDING FOR PRE 16 PUPILS, COMPARED TO 2017/18 FUNDING

Schools sorted by their difference in funding if blocks of £6k threshold reduced to 50% & FFI unit value reduced to £600

School Name	Resourced Unit	NOR (See note 1)	High Needs Pupils in receipt of FFI			Baseline Funding Allocation 2017/18	Of Which: Notional SEN Funding 2017/18 (Rec-Y11)	Estimated Funding for High Needs Pupils 2017/18 (See note 2)	Total SEN Funding 2017/18	Reduce FFI unit value to £600	Reduction if threshold increased to 50% on additional £6k blocks	Total Potential Reduction	As a percentage of Total SEN Funding	As a percentage of Total Budget
			Summer 17	Autumn 17	Spring 18 (estimate)									
Carlton Primary School		310	1	0	1	£1,102,116	£81,397	£3,192	£84,589	£-392	£0	£-392	-0.46%	-0.04%
Bardsey Primary School		187	1	1	1	£706,683	£49,326	£2,736	£52,062	£-336	£0	£-336	-0.65%	-0.05%
Great Preston C of E Primary School		203	1	1	1	£798,171	£65,103	£2,736	£67,839	£-336	£0	£-336	-0.50%	-0.04%
Aberford C of E Primary School		103	1	0	0	£464,639	£28,690	£2,280	£30,970	£-280	£0	£-280	-0.90%	-0.06%
Lady Elizabeth Hastings C of E Primary School, Ledston		126	1	0	0	£512,687	£25,858	£2,280	£28,138	£-280	£0	£-280	-1.00%	-0.05%
Valley View Community Primary School		415	0	1	0	£1,563,547	£137,843	£2,280	£140,123	£-280	£0	£-280	-0.20%	-0.02%
Wetherby St. James CE Primary		75	0	1	1	£433,813	£25,418	£1,596	£27,014	£-196	£0	£-196	-0.73%	-0.05%
Horsforth Featherbank Primary School		201	1	0	0	£789,483	£60,122	£1,140	£61,262	£-140	£0	£-140	-0.23%	-0.02%
Collingham Lady Elizabeth Hastings' C of E Primary		208	1	0	0	£738,641	£41,025	£684	£41,709	£-84	£0	£-84	-0.20%	-0.01%
Calverley C of E Primary School		368	0	0	0	£1,270,200	£88,798	£0	£88,798	£0	£0	£0	0.00%	0.00%
Kirkstall St Stephen's C of E Primary School		205	0	0	0	£846,464	£78,533	£0	£78,533	£0	£0	£0	0.00%	0.00%
West End Primary School		240	0	0	0	£844,717	£42,331	£0	£42,331	£0	£0	£0	0.00%	0.00%
White Laith Primary School		206	0	0	0	£855,817	£83,449	£0	£83,449	£0	£0	£0	0.00%	0.00%
Primary Academies														
Westerton Primary Academy		630	17	17	17	£2,038,720	£156,056	£134,400	£290,456	£-11,900	£-20,500	£-32,400	-11.15%	-1.49%
Kippax Ash Tree Primary School		339	12	11	11	£1,486,879	£138,272	£91,768	£230,040	£-8,568	£-14,500	£-23,068	-10.03%	-1.46%
Hillcrest Academy		420	14	10	11	£1,834,335	£229,309	£87,406	£316,715	£-9,506	£-10,000	£-19,506	-6.16%	-1.02%
Manston St James Primary Academy		442	13	10	9	£1,522,718	£132,135	£83,936	£216,071	£-8,036	£-10,500	£-18,536	-8.58%	-1.15%
Wyecroft Academy		280	11	8	9	£1,264,669	£156,463	£68,596	£225,059	£-7,196	£-10,000	£-17,196	-7.64%	-1.29%
St Chad's Church of England Primary School		211	6	6	6	£802,035	£55,023	£48,464	£103,487	£-3,864	£-8,500	£-12,364	-11.95%	-1.45%
Park View Primary Academy		211	7	6	6	£963,359	£117,171	£50,258	£167,429	£-5,558	£-5,000	£-10,558	-6.31%	-1.04%
St Joseph's Catholic Primary School, Pudsey		247	5	4	4	£878,893	£60,466	£40,674	£101,140	£-4,074	£-5,000	£-9,074	-8.97%	-0.99%
Ss Peter and Paul Catholic Primary, a Voluntary Academy		214	4	4	4	£756,461	£51,911	£33,124	£85,035	£-3,024	£-6,000	£-9,024	-10.61%	-1.14%
Richmond Hill Primary School	Y	576	34	36	36	£2,483,036	£317,784	£567,607	£885,391	£-7,938	£0	£-7,938	-0.90%	-0.26%
Nightingale Primary Academy		260	6	5	5	£1,274,562	£137,758	£44,452	£182,210	£-5,152	£-2,500	£-7,652	-4.20%	-0.58%
East Ardsley Primary Academy		373	6	5	5	£1,503,469	£117,595	£39,550	£157,145	£-4,550	£-2,500	£-7,050	-4.49%	-0.46%
Brownhill Primary Academy		413	9	7	7	£1,847,847	£263,055	£57,228	£320,283	£-7,028	£0	£-7,028	-2.19%	-0.37%
Woodlands Primary Academy		418	11	9	10	£1,828,290	£224,941	£57,000	£281,941	£-7,000	£0	£-7,000	-2.48%	-0.37%
Ebor Gardens Primary Academy		397	6	7	8	£1,833,541	£189,650	£44,802	£234,452	£-5,502	£0	£-5,502	-2.35%	-0.29%
Swillington Primary School		189	4	3	3	£779,818	£82,459	£24,502	£106,961	£-2,702	£-2,500	£-5,202	-4.86%	-0.65%
Kippax North Primary School		193	4	3	3	£737,379	£52,035	£25,976	£78,011	£-2,576	£-2,500	£-5,076	-6.51%	-0.66%
Morley Newlands Academy		524	7	6	7	£1,865,333	£215,919	£38,532	£254,451	£-4,732	£0	£-4,732	-1.86%	-0.25%
Cottingley Primary Academy		262	6	5	5	£1,146,182	£127,104	£33,516	£160,620	£-4,116	£0	£-4,116	-2.56%	-0.35%
St Mary's Horsforth Catholic Voluntary Academy		211	3	2	2	£757,972	£40,342	£11,848	£52,190	£-1,148	£-2,500	£-3,648	-6.99%	-0.47%
Oakwood Primary Academy		425	3	4	3	£1,974,991	£251,385	£24,510	£275,895	£-3,010	£0	£-3,010	-1.09%	-0.15%
Victoria Primary Academy		424	4	7	4	£1,690,218	£227,159	£24,510	£251,669	£-3,010	£0	£-3,010	-1.20%	-0.18%
St Benedict's Catholic Primary School		215	3	3	4	£795,976	£59,329	£18,012	£77,341	£-2,212	£0	£-2,212	-2.86%	-0.27%
Green Lane Primary Academy		414	5	5	5	£1,404,221	£126,474	£17,784	£144,258	£-2,184	£0	£-2,184	-1.51%	-0.15%
Kippax Greenfield Primary School		182	2	2	2	£683,950	£42,357	£15,048	£57,405	£-1,848	£0	£-1,848	-3.22%	-0.26%
Otley St Joseph's Catholic Primary School		194	3	1	1	£730,405	£46,831	£7,498	£54,329	£-798	£-1,000	£-1,798	-3.31%	-0.24%
Allerton Bywater Primary School		283	2	2	1	£1,074,005	£99,505	£9,063	£108,568	£-1,113	£0	£-1,113	-1.03%	-0.10%
Hill Top Primary Academy		208	2	2	2	£828,809	£49,400	£8,778	£58,178	£-1,078	£0	£-1,078	-1.85%	-0.13%
East Garforth Primary Academy		253	3	1	1	£912,050	£71,781	£7,296	£79,077	£-896	£0	£-896	-1.13%	-0.10%
Holy Name Catholic Voluntary Academy		199	1	1	1	£750,759	£53,393	£6,840	£60,233	£-840	£0	£-840	-1.39%	-0.11%
Rothwell Church of England Primary Academy		189	1	1	1	£752,054	£65,759	£6,840	£72,599	£-840	£0	£-840	-1.16%	-0.11%
Christ The King Catholic Primary School, A Voluntary Academy		187	0	1	1	£765,181	£65,835	£1,596	£67,431	£-196	£0	£-196	-0.29%	-0.03%

MODELLING OF POTENTIAL OPTIONS FOR 2018/19 SEN FUNDING FOR PRE 16 PUPILS, COMPARED TO 2017/18 FUNDING

Schools sorted by their difference in funding if blocks of £6k threshold reduced to 50% & FFI unit value reduced to £600

School Name	Resourced Unit	NOR (See note 1)	High Needs Pupils in receipt of FFI			Baseline Funding Allocation 2017/18	Of Which: Notional SEN Funding 2017/18 (Rec-Y11)	Estimated Funding for High Needs Pupils 2017/18 (See note 2)	Total SEN Funding 2017/18	Reduce FFI unit value to £600	Reduction if threshold increased to 50% on additional £6k blocks	Total Potential Reduction	As a percentage of Total SEN Funding	As a percentage of Total Budget
			Summer 17	Autumn 17	Spring 18 (estimate)									
Khalsa Science Academy		87	0	0	0	£362,281	£24,686	£0	£24,686	£0	£0	£0	0.00%	0.00%
SUB TOTALS	10	66,668	1,399	1,324	1,353	£264,197,431	£25,776,483	£10,287,432	£36,063,915	£-968,300	£-461,000	£-1,429,300	-3.96%	-0.52%
Maintained Secondary & All-Through Schools														
Roundhay School	Y	1,630	49	53	53	£8,236,681	£509,623	£469,083	£978,706	£-24,638	£-3,500	£-28,138	-2.88%	-0.32%
Temple Moor High School		1,110	22	16	16	£5,668,482	£447,823	£112,176	£559,999	£-13,776	£0	£-13,776	-2.46%	-0.24%
Lawnswood School		893	22	23	23	£5,612,292	£373,806	£110,580	£484,386	£-13,580	£0	£-13,580	-2.80%	-0.24%
Royds School		865	12	14	14	£4,509,557	£373,124	£62,928	£436,052	£-7,728	£0	£-7,728	-1.77%	-0.17%
Benton Park School		1,123	11	10	10	£5,409,224	£347,179	£56,772	£403,951	£-6,972	£0	£-6,972	-1.73%	-0.13%
Allerton High School	Y	1,029	24	21	21	£5,297,044	£324,495	£243,296	£567,791	£-6,454	£0	£-6,454	-1.14%	-0.12%
Allerton Grange School	Y	1,211	20	16	16	£6,634,750	£514,421	£222,411	£736,832	£-4,774	£0	£-4,774	-0.65%	-0.07%
Pudsey Grangefield School		983	5	4	4	£4,856,475	£307,143	£34,542	£341,685	£-4,242	£0	£-4,242	-1.24%	-0.09%
Carr Manor Community School		1,118	5	7	7	£7,038,841	£542,034	£32,832	£574,866	£-4,032	£0	£-4,032	-0.70%	-0.06%
Corpus Christi Catholic College	Y	966	31	34	34	£5,033,897	£368,660	£414,739	£783,399	£-3,920	£0	£-3,920	-0.50%	-0.07%
Ralph Thoresby School.	Y	783	22	24	24	£4,666,536	£343,944	£319,551	£663,494	£-3,654	£0	£-3,654	-0.55%	-0.07%
Cardinal Heenan Catholic High School		900	6	6	6	£4,655,572	£276,288	£27,360	£303,648	£-3,360	£0	£-3,360	-1.11%	-0.07%
Boston Spa School		649	4	6	6	£3,253,707	£218,095	£18,012	£236,107	£-2,128	£0	£-2,128	-0.90%	-0.07%
Mount St Mary's Catholic High School		906	3	3	3	£4,952,039	£437,850	£12,540	£450,390	£-1,540	£0	£-1,540	-0.34%	-0.03%
Guiseley School		1,131	0	3	3	£5,230,066	£301,696	£10,602	£312,298	£-1,302	£0	£-1,302	-0.42%	-0.02%
Wetherby High School		568	2	2	2	£2,778,656	£156,237	£5,472	£161,709	£-672	£0	£-672	-0.42%	-0.02%
Secondary & All Through Academies/Free Schools														
Leeds City Academy		454	16	16	15	£3,756,912	£313,478	£98,684	£412,162	£-10,584	£-12,500	£-23,084	-5.60%	-0.60%
St. Mary's Menston a Catholic Voluntary Academy		945	13	9	9	£4,248,373	£189,704	£69,614	£259,318	£-7,014	£-12,500	£-19,514	-7.53%	-0.45%
Brigshaw High School and Language College	Y	1,112	23	21	20	£5,429,683	£385,564	£172,397	£557,961	£-11,690	£-2,500	£-14,190	-2.54%	-0.25%
Leeds East Academy		728	15	18	19	£4,341,482	£434,764	£93,138	£527,902	£-11,438	£0	£-11,438	-2.17%	-0.26%
Cockburn School		1,199	19	19	19	£6,423,436	£565,889	£91,314	£657,203	£-11,214	£0	£-11,214	-1.71%	-0.17%
Garforth Academy		1,515	15	14	14	£7,002,404	£467,739	£59,394	£527,133	£-7,294	£0	£-7,294	-1.38%	-0.10%
Abbey Grange Church of England Academy		1,158	8	10	10	£5,578,995	£334,629	£56,658	£391,287	£-6,958	£0	£-6,958	-1.78%	-0.12%
Swallow Hill Community College	Y	670	14	8	9	£4,006,183	£386,549	£119,905	£506,454	£-6,538	£0	£-6,538	-1.29%	-0.16%
Co-Operative Academy Priestthorpe		944	10	8	7	£4,849,864	£388,161	£50,958	£439,119	£-6,258	£0	£-6,258	-1.43%	-0.13%
The Co-operative Academy of Leeds		875	9	8	8	£5,977,611	£576,939	£47,424	£624,363	£-5,824	£0	£-5,824	-0.93%	-0.10%
Rodillian Academy		1,289	6	8	8	£6,488,164	£452,921	£46,626	£499,547	£-5,726	£0	£-5,726	-1.15%	-0.09%
Otley Prince Henry's Grammar School Specialist Language College		1,183	7	9	10	£5,418,034	£299,461	£43,092	£342,553	£-5,292	£0	£-5,292	-1.54%	-0.10%
Leeds West Academy		1,163	8	10	10	£6,237,466	£571,158	£38,418	£609,576	£-4,718	£0	£-4,718	-0.77%	-0.08%
The Morley Academy		1,465	4	3	3	£6,962,831	£462,492	£19,380	£481,872	£-2,380	£0	£-2,380	-0.49%	-0.03%
The Ruth Gorse Academy		717	2	5	5	£3,977,049	£358,843	£18,924	£377,767	£-2,324	£0	£-2,324	-0.62%	-0.06%
The South Leeds Academy		884	5	4	4	£5,851,999	£515,112	£18,468	£533,580	£-2,268	£0	£-2,268	-0.43%	-0.04%
The Farnley Academy		1,176	1	5	5	£5,909,849	£444,677	£18,126	£462,803	£-2,226	£0	£-2,226	-0.48%	-0.04%
Woodkirk Academy		1,539	1	4	4	£7,280,858	£478,620	£16,872	£495,492	£-2,072	£0	£-2,072	-0.42%	-0.03%
Temple Learning Academy		184	1	3	3	£876,678	£77,717	£16,758	£94,475	£-2,058	£0	£-2,058	-2.18%	-0.23%
John Smeaton Academy	Y	777	22	24	24	£4,731,197	£348,903	£329,046	£677,949	£-1,372	£0	£-1,372	-0.20%	-0.03%
Crawshaw Academy		821	3	3	3	£4,104,652	£307,379	£10,944	£318,323	£-1,344	£0	£-1,344	-0.42%	-0.03%
Bishop Young Church of England Academy		815	2	4	3	£4,663,390	£434,094	£10,260	£444,354	£-1,260	£0	£-1,260	-0.28%	-0.03%
Bruntcliffe Academy		700	2	2	2	£3,687,103	£327,992	£7,524	£335,516	£-924	£0	£-924	-0.28%	-0.03%
Horsforth School		1,132	1	0	0	£5,282,974	£309,379	£3,420	£312,799	£-420	£0	£-420	-0.13%	-0.01%

MODELLING OF POTENTIAL OPTIONS FOR 2018/19 SEN FUNDING FOR PRE 16 PUPILS, COMPARED TO 2017/18 FUNDING

Schools sorted by their difference in funding if blocks of £6k threshold reduced to 50% & FFI unit value reduced to £600

School Name	Resourced Unit	NOR (See note 1)	High Needs Pupils in receipt of FFI			Baseline Funding Allocation 2017/18	Of Which: Notional SEN Funding 2017/18 (Rec-Y11)	Estimated Funding for High Needs Pupils 2017/18 (See note 2)	Total SEN Funding 2017/18	Reduce FFI unit value to £600	Reduction if threshold increased to 50% on additional £6k blocks	Total Potential Reduction	As a percentage of Total SEN Funding	As a percentage of Total Budget
			Summer 17	Autumn 17	Spring 18 (estimate)									
Leeds Jewish Free School		93	1	1	1	£645,081	£34,803	£2,736	£37,539	£-336	£0	£-336	-0.90%	-0.05%
Dixons Trinity Free School		30	0	1	1	£157,364	£3,776	£1,596	£5,372	£-196	£0	£-196	-3.65%	-0.12%
UTC Leeds		173	0	0	0	£1,075,238	£65,224	£0	£65,224	£0	£0	£0	0.00%	0.00%
SECONDARY & ALL-THROUGH SCHOOL TOTALS	8	39,606	446	459	458	£208,798,686	£15,378,386	£3,614,571	£18,992,957	£-222,500	£-31,000	£-253,500	-1.33%	-0.12%
MAINSTREAM TOTALS	18	106,273	2,082	1,949	1,985	£472,996,117	£41,154,869	£15,219,459	£56,374,328	£-1,352,594	£-492,000	£-1,844,594	-3.27%	-0.38%

Notes

- 1) These are the number of Reception to Year 11 pupils funded through the mainstream school funding formula in the 2017/18 financial year.
- 2) Estimated Funding for High Needs pupils includes the following: Resourced Unit Place Led Funding, SEN top up funding for all pupils in school (including minimum funding guarantee for pupils in resourced units and SILCs), Early Years funding and the Additional Blocks of £6k funding.
- 3) Early Years figures are based on pupils allocated SEN top up funding in Summer 2017, Autumn 2017 (financial year 2017-18) and Spring 2017 (previous financial year). Figures are also based on all pupils accessing 15 hours (or 30 hours provision if known).
- 4) The Reception to Year 11 figures are based on high needs pupils in receipt of SEN funding in the 2017/18 financial year as recorded on the FFI database as at October 2017.
- 5) Under the funding regulations the additional blocks of £6k funding can only be removed from academies and free schools from the beginning of the 2018/19 academic year.

6) The school baselines used in the tables above are taken from the Local Authorities 2017-18 authority proforma tool (APT) return. The baseline figures for some academies and free schools may differ from those used in their 2017/18 general annual grant (GAG) statements.

This page is intentionally left blank